

WLSWCA FAIRWOOD 11 PIPE PHASE 2 ALTERNATIVE COMPARISON MATRIX

Project # 1117559

ALTERNATIVES COMPARISON MATRIX		KING COUNTY, WATER AND LAND RESOURCES DIVISION	
<b>Project Name</b>	WLSWCA FAIRWOOD 11 PIPE PHASE 2	<b>Prepared By</b>	J. Polka, EIT
<b>Project Number</b>	1117559	<b>Date</b>	10/4/2019
<b>Scope</b>	Remove fish barrier at Fairwood Park Division 11. The existing conveyance system includes one 36-inch pipe, three 48-inch pipes, 2 manholes, and 1 Type 2 catch basin. An approximately 6-foot drop (fish barrier) exists at one of the manholes (most downstream of the ones listed).		
<b>Objective</b>	Remove fish barrier.		
<b>Consequence of Failure</b>	Continued existence of fish barrier. The site also has a history of sinkhole formations along the conveyance system.		
<b>WDFW Hydraulic Project Approval – Permit Terms</b>	<b>Permit #2016-4-390+1 / Issued 06-13-2016 / End Date 06-12-2021 / Project Description:</b> Provision 1.b. "As discussed at the June 5, 2014 meeting, the site at the upstream end of the pipe system, where there is an approximately six foot drop in elevation, shall be reconstructed with a fish passable design prior to June 12, 2021."		

	ALTERNATIVE 1 Remove up to 180 feet of Existing System and Replace with Constructed Stream Channel <b>PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE</b>	ALTERNATIVE 2 Conveyance Repair Only	ALTERNATIVE 3 Conveyance Repair with Fish Passage		
			Option 1	Option 2	Option 3
			Stream Simulation Method	Zero Slope Method	Hydraulic Method
<b>Description</b>	Replace existing system with: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>roughened channel (190 – 340 LF)</li> <li>new trash rack where stream meets ex. downstream 48-in pipe</li> </ul>	Unsuitable because replacing system with round pipe does not provide fish passage.	Preferred method by DFW/Tribes/Corps Replace existing system with <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>engineered stream channel (~190 LF)</li> <li>box culvert (~125 LF) w/ stream sed.; width ≥ 14-ft</li> <li>access road for maintenance</li> </ul>	This alternative is unsuitable for this site because the slope is greater than 4% and the box height would need to be 19', which is above the existing ground surface.	Acceptable method under exceptional circumstances Replace existing system with: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>pool and chute fishway (45 LF) width ~12-ft</li> <li>Roughened channel (158 LF)</li> <li>other appurtenances</li> <li>access road for maintenance</li> </ul>
<b>Operation &amp; Maintenance</b> <i>Life Cycle Costs of 25 years as basis</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>5 years of monitoring per HPA</li> <li>Confined space entry not required</li> <li>2 insp/year (\$1k/yr)</li> <li>1 large event (\$20k/event)</li> <li>Total est cost: \$45k</li> </ul>	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CSE likely needed</li> <li>2 insp/year (\$1k/yr)</li> <li>1 large mx event evert 5 yrs (\$20k/event)</li> <li>Total est cost: \$125k</li> </ul>	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CSE likely needed</li> <li>2 insp/year (\$1k/yr)</li> <li>1 large mx event evert 5 yrs (\$20k/event)</li> <li>Total Estimated Cost: \$125k</li> </ul>
<b>Acquisitions/Easements Required</b> <i>Includes costs for demo &amp; relocation</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1 parcel acquisition: \$385k</li> <li>Demolition: est \$70k</li> <li>Add'l drainage easement required                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>cost TBD</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Potentially need add'l drainage easement in park</li> </ul>	N/A	None required
<b>Construction Cost</b> Includes CMI	\$480K	N/A	\$2M+	N/A	\$2M+
<b>Total Project Cost</b> <i>Includes Acquisitions Construction, PM&amp;D, Permits, Acquisitions; excludes O&amp;M</i>	\$1.6M (includes \$600k spent to date)	N/A	\$3.3M (includes \$600k spent to date)	N/A	\$3.3M (includes \$600k spent to date)

WLSWCA FAIRWOOD 11 PIPE PHASE 2 ALTERNATIVE COMPARISON MATRIX

Project # 1117559

<p><b>Project Pros</b> <i>(includes long term environmental benefits, if applicable)</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Improves/increases stream habitat</li> <li>Construction is relatively easy (no need to excavate to bearing material)</li> <li>O &amp; M is easier since no new structures</li> </ul>	<p>N/A</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Improves/increases stream habitat</li> </ul>	<p>N/A</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Possible to stay within existing drainage easement</li> </ul>
<p><b>Project Cons</b> <i>(includes long term negative environmental impacts, if applicable)</i></p>	<p>Requires HOA acceptance due change in the park landscape (slopes) outside of existing drainage easement</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Unsuitable for this site based on WDFW guidelines                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>culvert bed slope &gt; 1.25 x upstream channel slope</li> </ul> </li> <li>May require HOA acceptance due to permanent features in the park outside of existing drainage easement</li> <li>Maintenance and monitoring may require confined space entry</li> <li>Relatively complex construction (deep excavation work requiring shoring)</li> </ul>	<p>N/A</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2.5 to 1 side slopes and fencing likely required for open channel portion</li> <li>May require HOA acceptance due to permanent features in the park outside of existing drainage easement</li> <li>Maintenance and monitoring may require confined space entry</li> <li>Relatively complex construction (deep excavation work requiring shoring)</li> </ul>
<p><b>Risks</b></p>	<p>Not fully meeting HOA expectations. Employing periodic communications with HOA board.</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>Downstream deposition of eng. stream sediment</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>Significant permitting challenges</p>
<p><b>Permits Required</b> <i>Include possible issues</i></p>	<p>Local: C&amp;G State: HPA Federal: ACOE Section 401/404</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>Local: C&amp;G State: HPA Federal: ACOE Section 401/404</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>Local: C&amp;G State: HPA Federal: ACOE Section 401/404</p>
<p><b>Geotechnical Issues</b></p>	<p>Not expecting the site's poor soils will impact design and construction of new stream channel</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>Poor site soils likely require over-excavation to depths of 18 to 23 ft BGS and backfilling with foundation material</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>Poor site soils likely require over-excavation to depths of 18 to 23 ft BGS and backfilling with foundation material</p>
<p><b>Construct-ability</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Fish window limitations would apply</li> <li>Relatively simple construction</li> </ul>	<p>N/A</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Fish window limitations would apply</li> <li>Shoring likely required for excavation</li> </ul>	<p>N/A</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Fish window limitations would apply</li> <li>Shoring likely required for excavation</li> </ul>