SECTION 1. PROJECT SUMMARY

*In the space below, provide a brief description of the project. Please reference how the targeted parcels are significant individually, and (if relevant) as part of a larger open space system, reach, or watershed.*

This project would acquire 4 undeveloped parcels within the City of Shoreline’s 185th Street Light Rail Station Subarea, in order to provide for an open space opportunity within this rapidly growing area. With the recent approval of an increased zoning density, it is critical that the parcels are acquired in order to provide an open space resource.

This project is identified in the City of Shoreline’s 2017-2023 Parks, Recreation and Open Space Plan (PROS Plan. These parcels are a rare undeveloped tract of land within the newly increased in zoning density 185th Street Light Rail Subarea. The parcels are all owned by the same individual and we have made initial contact with them.

1 King County Council District information here: https://www.kingcounty.gov/council/councilmembers/find_district.aspx
SECTION 2. OPEN SPACE RESOURCES

Before answering Sections 2 & 3, please review “King County Conservation Futures (CFT) Application Evaluation Criteria.” Please mark the checkbox for each criterion that applies to the parcels that are current funding targets. Then briefly describe in the space below how the proposed acquisition satisfies each marked criterion. Consider, if applicable, both the landscape and individual parcel level.

☐ A. Wildlife habitat or rare plant reserve  ☒ F. Urban passive-use natural area/greenbelt
☐ B. Salmon habitat and aquatic resources  ☐ G. Park/open space or natural corridor addition
☐ C. Scenic resources  ☒ H. Passive recreation opportunity/unmet needs
☐ D. Community separator  ☐ I. Equity/opportunity area projects that seek to redress
☐ E. Historic/cultural resources  historic disparities in access to open space

Urban passive-use natural area/greenbelt

These parcels are currently in a natural, undeveloped state within urban Shoreline. With the increase in density due to the re-zone of the 185th Light Rail Subarea, there is a threat of development. There is already a lack of open space neighborhood, resources; and with the potential increase in density (both housing and commercial), the need will be even greater.

Passive recreation opportunity/unmet needs

Within the City of Shoreline, there are approximately 111 acres of open space within the 347 acres of park land. The City’s ratio for open space vs. population is 2.09 acres/1,000 residents. Ratios for other communities in our region range from 0.45 acre to 5.22 acres per 1,000 residents. The acquisition of this parcel will significantly impact the open space resources in Shoreline as the City looks to increase open space resources in a thoughtful way that will also support transportation uses and the 185th light rail area that was rezoned to a higher density. Due to this, we will likely see increasing density in the area which will make it difficult to further increase open space and will increase the need for open space for the new residents and business, as well. The need for additional open space resources is listed in the City’s Parks, Recreation, and Open Space Plan (PROS Plan) as an unmet need in the City of Shoreline, and identifies Paramount Open Space as a location to expand in. The City adopted this PROS plan in May 2017. The plan also identifies the limitations on increasing the amount of open space in Shoreline, due to the limited number of undeveloped parcels available.
SECTION 3. ADDITIONAL FACTORS

Please mark the checkbox for each criterion that applies to the parcels that are the current funding targets. Then briefly describe in the space below how the proposed acquisition satisfies each marked criterion.

☐ A. Educational/interpretive opportunity
☒ B. Threat of loss of open space resources
☐ C. Feasibility: Ownership complexity/willing seller(s)/community support
☐ D. Describe any public or private partnerships that will enhance this project
☐ E. Is the property identified in an adopted park, open space, comprehensive, or community plan?
☐ F. Transferable Development Rights (TDR) participation

Threat of loss of open space resources

These parcels remain in a natural, overgrown state and in a prime location for development due to the recently approved increase in zoning density to MUR45 and the 185th light rail station that will be opening in 2023. They are the only undeveloped parcels available within the 185th Light Rail Station Subarea and, therefore, it is critical to prevent their development as the City looks to increase park open space to support the increase in residents and businesses. By 2035, the plan estimates there will be 2,916-5,399 new people living in and 500-928 new employees within the subarea. With very few vacant lots within the subarea, the time is now to acquire property to support the population growth within the subarea.

Property is identified in an adopted park and open space plan

185th Light Rail Subarea additional parcels are identified in the City’s 2017-2023 Park, Recreation and Open Space Plan (PROS Plan). PROS Plan, Goal 1, Policy 1.3 states “Plan for, acquire and develop land for new facilities to meet the need of a growing population.” In terms of implementation strategies for Goal 1, the PROS Plan also specifically lists “Acquire new parks in the 185th Light Rail Subarea and 145th Light Area Subarea.”
## SECTION 4. PARCEL DETAILS

A. Please provide information about the parcels that are current and future funding targets.

1. **Parcels that are Current Funding Targets** - i.e., those parcels which would be acquired with requested funding, shown in red on your maps. Find this information on Assessor’s Report/Property Details accessed through King County iMAP (https://gismaps.kingcounty.gov/iMap/). Add more rows if needed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parcel Number (do not use hyphen, e.g., 1234567890)</th>
<th>Acreage</th>
<th>Zoning</th>
<th>Assessor’s “Appraised Land Value”</th>
<th>Assessor’s “Appraised Improvements Value”</th>
<th>Estimated Cost to Purchase Desired Property Interest</th>
<th>Property Interest Sought (fee, easement, or TBD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7276100015</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>TC4</td>
<td>$195,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$234,000</td>
<td>fee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7276100016</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>TC4</td>
<td>$208,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$249,600</td>
<td>fee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7276100017</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>TC4</td>
<td>$208,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$249,600</td>
<td>fee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7276100018</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>TC4</td>
<td>$208,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$249,600</td>
<td>fee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>727610TRCT</td>
<td>TC4</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TC4 stands for Shoreline Town Center 4 zoning. It is intended to be a transitional zoning area from the high density of the main Town Center zoning to the neighborhoods. That said, commercial and residential uses are still allowed – with a maximum hardscape coverage of 90% and 3 stories tall. Minimum building setbacks are 10 ft in the front yard and 5 ft all other sides. There are no minimum lot sizes.

2. **Future Funding Targets** - i.e., those parcels shown in yellow on your maps. Please list the parcel numbers here (no additional information needed):

   NA

B. How did you estimate the cost to purchase parcels? (e.g., appraisal, estimate from assessor’s values, etc).

   We used the KC Assessor’s information, plus 30%.

C. Are any parcels are enrolled in the Current Use Taxation program (a voluntary conservation program)?

   No.

## SECTION 5. PROPERTY USE, STEWARDSHIP, AND MAINTENANCE

A. What is the intended future use of the property (e.g., passive recreation, habitat restoration, timber management, agricultural production)? Will this land be available for public use?

   We plan to develop a master plan for the park; but it will remain a passive use park.

B. How will the property be stewarded and maintained, and using what funding? Does the property lend itself to volunteer stewardship opportunities or community participation?

   In terms of ongoing stewardship of the park, the City of Shoreline wants to be certain that activities performed in the open space are performed within the context of the overall environmental health and passive recreation of the site. Any site development will be to create a usable open space park to benefit the surrounding neighborhood. Shoreline Parks Maintenance Staff will perform any maintenance necessary on the property. Any additional costs have been factored into the ongoing maintenance budget.

---

2 Please explain what the zoning designation means if you don’t think it would be evident to the committee.

3 Parcels enrolled in Current Use Taxation for “Forestry” may not have a valid “appraised land value” or acreage.

4 Visit [www.kingcounty.gov/incentives](http://www.kingcounty.gov/incentives), and use the “Interactive Map” to check enrollment for your target parcel(s).
C. What are the biggest challenges you anticipate in managing this site?

The biggest challenge will be the location of the park is in an area of great potential growth – for both employment and housing. The planning and development of this open space resource must work to meet the needs of this growing subarea.

D. Will you be purchasing and demolishing any structures on the target parcel(s)? Explain why this is necessary.

There are no structures on the property.

E. Do you intend to reserve any part of the site for non-CFT-eligible activities, such as more intensive recreation or retaining a structure on a fee purchase? (Funds used for that purchase can’t count as CFT match.)

No.
SECTION 6. PROJECT BUDGET

Please provide budget information for the parcels that are the current funding targets.

FUNDING REQUEST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REQUESTED AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CFT Funding Request</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KC Parks Levy (PL) Request (for county projects only)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Funding Request</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*We are applying for a match waiver but will accept $538,450.

TOTAL CFT PROJECT COSTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROJECT COSTS</th>
<th>ESTIMATED DOLLAR AMOUNT OR RANGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total property interest value</td>
<td>$1,064,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title and appraisal work</td>
<td>$5,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closing, fees, taxes</td>
<td>$7,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relocation costs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazardous waste reports</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Directly related staff, administration and legal costs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only if applicable: Value of land used as match5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Estimated Project Costs</td>
<td>$1,076,900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FUNDING/MATCH TABLE

Please document the sources of match that you have secured, or intend to seek, towards the parcels that are the current funding targets. If you don’t yet have match secured, please note how you plan to obtain it. If you seek a match waiver (based on equity/opportunity area determination), please write “Match Waiver Requested” in table below and complete Supplemental Form #1: Equity/Opportunity Area Determination.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CFT FUNDING</th>
<th>Date Funding Secured</th>
<th>Dollar Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CFT Funding Request</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$1,076,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past CFT Funding Available</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(i.e., funds remaining from past awards, to be spent on current target parcels)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MATCH FUNDING SECURED Sources/Status:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MATCH FUNDING STILL SOUGHT Sources/ Plan to obtain match?:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential Parks Bond</td>
<td>Vote possible fall 2019</td>
<td>$ 538,450</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We are requesting a match waiver, HOWEVER, if we do not receive it, it is likely that the match would come from a potential parks bond that has been discussed in the development of the PROS Plan. We have convened a Parks Funding Advisory Committee to make a recommendation to the Parks Board and City Council.

5 If you are providing cash match, ignore this instruction. If your match consists of a land trade or the cash value of recent open space purchases, please reflect the match property’s estimated value in the “Value of land used as match” row. Your “Total Estimated Project Costs” will include this match property’s estimated value.
SECTION 7. BOND FUNDING POTENTIAL (SPECIAL SECTION FOR 2019)

Projects applying in 2019 may be able to qualify for bond-backed Conservation Futures funds, in addition to annual awards. Criteria A through D below are factors that may make projects most competitive for bond funding. Mark the checkbox for each criterion that applies to the parcels that are the current funding targets, and briefly describe in the space below how the proposed acquisition satisfies each marked criterion. Please note, if necessary, whether certain parcels in your scope meet the criteria, but other parcels in your scope do not.

☐ A. Acquisition can occur in late 2019 or soon thereafter

☐ B. Transaction is highly likely to be successful

☐ C. Match is secured

☐ D. Property acquired in fee will not be leased or sold for private benefit (i.e., leased to a private party or surplused. Note that acquisition of conservation easements, TDR easements, farm covenants where underlying fee remains in private ownership is generally acceptable.)

If the application is funded with a match waiver and we are able to move forward with the property owner we are prepared to move forward quickly. Parcels will be acquired via fee.
GUIDANCE FOR APPLICANT

Complete this supplemental form only if you seek a determination of whether your project is in an equity/opportunity area. Please thoroughly review the guidance on this page to determine whether your project may qualify.

MANDATORY: Contact CFT Program Coordinator to receive a data report on how the target parcel(s) meet certain criteria. Complete this form using the data report, but also feel free to share additional relevant information to make the case that your project is in an equity/opportunity area.

KEY INFORMATION ON EQUITY/OPPORTUNITY AREAS AND MATCH WAIVERS

This program is intended to apply to projects that would provide open space in the most underserved parts of the county, where past history of inequities, discrimination, and limited regional investment – including investment in open space – is evident today. The program is intended to help eliminate disparities in access to public open spaces and trails in communities with the greatest and most acute needs.

Properties that are determined by the CFT Committee to be in an equity/opportunity area may qualify for a match waiver if the project is awarded CFT funds (a match waiver means that CFT could fund 100% of the eligible acquisition costs). Determination that a project is in an equity/opportunity area and qualifies for match waiver does not mean that the project would receive CFT funding. The Committee’s funding recommendation will be based on further evaluation of the project’s merits. King County Council makes final funding determination.

There are two different methods to establish whether the project qualifies as being in an equity/opportunity area (based on King County Code 26.12.003.E), and thereby may be eligible to receive CFT funding for the entire project cost without providing match dollars.

Method #1 (evaluated in Question 1 below in this supplemental form)

The project meets all three of the following specified criteria:

A. “areas located in a census tract in which the median household income is in the lowest one-third for median household income for census tracts in King County;

B. “areas located in a census tract in which hospitalization rates for asthma, diabetes, and heart disease are in the highest one-third for census tracts in King County; and

C. “for areas within the Urban Growth Boundary, [that] do not have a publicly owned and accessible park within one-quarter mile of a residence, or for areas outside the Urban Growth Boundary[,] that do not have a publicly owned and accessible park within two miles of a residence.”

Method #2 (evaluated in Question 2 in this supplemental form)

If the project does not qualify under Method #1, it may qualify if:

“the project proponent or proponents can demonstrate, and the citizen oversight committee determines, that residents living in the area experience disproportionately limited access to public open spaces as well as demonstrated hardships such as, but not limited to, chronic low incomes, persistent poor health, or high rates of utilization of free and reduced price school meals.”

Equity/Opportunity Area proposals should have engagement and collaboration with community-based organizations and/or members of the community. Collaboration can be demonstrated through at least two letters of support and a description of community outreach held to date, or planned in the future. Proposals that demonstrate community support will be prioritized higher than those without demonstrated support.
Question 1.

If a project meets all three of the criteria below, then it qualifies as being in an equity/opportunity area and is eligible for a match waiver if project is recommended for CFT funding. Please indicate “yes” or “no” to each criterion below, and briefly describe how it meets that criterion (e.g., “this site’s census tract is in the 28th percentile of census tracts for income”).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Does Project Meet These Criteria?</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1A. Located in a census tract in which the median household income is in the lowest one-third for median household income for census tracts in King County?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Describe:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B. Located in a census tract in which hospitalization rates for asthma, diabetes, and heart disease are in the highest one-third for census tracts in King County?</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Describe:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1C. For areas within the Urban Growth Boundary, that do not have a publicly owned and accessible park/open space within one-quarter mile of a residence, or for areas outside the Urban Growth Boundary, that do not have a publicly owned and accessible park/open space within two miles of a residence.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Describe (noting specifically what is the nearest open space &amp; how far away it is):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property is 0.15 miles east of the Interurban Trail (running along Aurora Avenue N within the Seattle City Light ROW. Nearest park/open space is Cromwell Park, which is 0.34 miles away as the crow flies.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Question 2.

*If a project does not meet all three of the criteria in Question 1, the CFT Committee may determine that projects qualify as being located in an equity/opportunity area if the project proponent can demonstrate limited open space access as well as other demonstrated hardships. Please share relevant information below.*

2A. OPEN SPACE ACCESS. Do residents living in the area experience disproportionately limited access to public open spaces? (e.g. a freeway, major arterial, or river prevents access to nearby open spaces; the nearest open space is ½ mile away; etc)

While the parcels are only 0.14 miles east of the Interurban Trail, the Trail in this location is not the same experience as a passive/open space park. At the location nearest to the parcels, the Interurban Trail runs along major north/south transportation corridor of Aurora Avenue N with no buffer. With upwards to 45,000 vehicles using Aurora in Shoreline each day visible to Interurban Trail users, we would strongly argue that the Interurban Trail does not provide the same open space/passive use experience that these parcels would. As we have mentioned, there are very few available parcels within Shoreline; these being the only vacant ones within the 185th Light Rail Subarea.

2B. INCOME/ECONOMIC INFORMATION. You already reported on income data in Question 1A. Is there additional income information for the immediate area that the committee should consider? (e.g. federal poverty level, rate of utilization of free and reduced price school meals)

No

2C. HEALTH. You already reported on health data in Question 1B. Is there additional health information for the immediate area that the committee should consider?

No.

2D. SOCIAL/DEMOGRAPHIC. Is there social or demographic information the Committee should consider? (e.g. % of population under 5; racial diversity; average life expectancy; diversity of languages spoken)

None.

2E. Please briefly note any other information the Committee should consider, beyond what you presented above.

NA
Question 3.
Please describe your community engagement and collaboration with community-based organizations and/or members of the community. Please provide at least two letters of support. If you have not engaged in such outreach, please describe your planned community outreach.

During the development of the City’s Parks, Recreation and Open Space Plan (approved in 2017), the City completed an extensive outreach program, which was outlined in a communication and public engagement plan that was vetted through the City’s Parks, Recreation and Cultural Services Board. The City partnered with ETC Institute to conduct a citizen survey to better understand residents’ priorities for parks, recreation, and educational services. The survey was statistically value and garnered 830 responses. An online survey was also posted, with 578 responses. Direct outreach began in early 2016, and presentations were made to neighborhood groups and open houses were held in September, October, November (2) and January 2017.

Additionally, these specific parcels were presented as part of the PROS Plan Open House held on January 7, 2017; giving the community an opportunity to comment on their inclusion in the plan. The need for additional open space opportunities was specifically called out in PROS Plan, Goal 1, Policy 1.3 “Plan for, acquire, and develop land for new facilities to meet the need of a growing population.”

A complete list of outreach and information on the overall development of the PROS Plan can be found here: http://www.shorelinewa.gov/home/showdocument?id=25389

Question 4.
If the Committee determines that this project does not qualify as being in an equity/opportunity area, the Committee will need to know whether you still want to be awarded CFT funding for up to 50% of project costs, which would require a dollar-for-dollar match.

4A. If you do not qualify for a match waiver, do you want to be considered for a CFT award that would require a dollar-for-dollar match? Mark Yes or No. If you mark no, your project will only be recommended for CFT funding if you qualify for a match waiver.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☒</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Instructions
If you answered “Yes” to question 4A, please complete questions 4B and 4C.
If you answered “No” to question 4A, skip questions 4B and 4C. You are done with this supplemental form.

4B. If you answered “Yes” above, identify the reduced CFT funding amount you would seek only in the event that you don’t qualify for a match waiver. Typically that would represent 50% of your total project cost.

$ 538,450
4C. Describe what your strategy would be to raise the necessary matching funds.

In the development of Shoreline’s PROS Plan, the City Council has discussed placing a Parks Bond measure on the ballot; though no timeline has been set. The City successfully managed a 2006 Shoreline Parks Bond, which also included funds for open space acquisition.
This map was developed by the City of Shoreline and is intended to be a general purpose reference tool. Information included on this map has been compiled by City staff from a variety of sources, is intended for city use, and is subject to change without notice. The City makes no representations or warranties, express or implied, as to accuracy, completeness, timeliness, or rights to the use of such information. Data should be independently verified by the user. This data, including the location of underground utilities, is not intended for use as a survey product or for commercial use. The City shall not be liable for any general, special, indirect, incidental, or consequential damages.
Shoreline Parks Director
Shoreline Parks and Recreation
17500 Midvale Ave N
Shoreline, WA 98133

Subject: CFT Grant Proposal

Dear Mr. Friedli:

This letter is regarding the proposed grant application for funding to purchase two properties adjacent to the southern border of Paramount Park Open Space.

I have considered the proposal and after discussing it with a few other neighbors and with you, I have decided to support it. This is because Paramount Park needs more buffers.

The most important thing to consider is that Paramount Open Space needs a plan to protect it from encroachment of development and traffic impacts from Light Rail and proposed Upzones. Paramount Park is a unique wetland and creek corridor natural area. It is an important headwaters for Thornton Creek.

If these properties are purchased they must be accompanied by a plan to develop a sensitively designed trail that will protect the park and surrounding properties. And obviously a fair offer to the current owners.

The Parks Department and Council should also consider how to further protect and enhance this sensitive resource by engaging neighboring property owners and King County assessor who might like to create protective conservation easements for their properties adjacent to the park, including the upland forested areas. This would save these landowners tax dollars and provide long term protection for the park with little investment. We also need a plan to create a new “box culvert” at the headwaters of Littles Creek where the detention pond enters the stream. This would improve pedestrian and bike safety for those transitioning through the park to the Light Rail station. These efforts will protect wildlife habitat and preserve the clean air and water assets of Paramount Park that are unique.
To whom it may concern,

I am writing this letter in support of the City Of Shoreline’s application to King County for Conservation Futures Tax Funds to purchase two properties adjacent to the southern border of Paramount Park Open Space.

Paramount Park Open Space includes wetlands, habitat and trails and one of the few relatively intact peat bogs in the City of Shoreline. Little’s Creek and a couple of it’s tiny tributaries flow into the ponds at the south end of the park and they in turn feed into Thornton Creek.

The wetland system at Paramount Park Open Space collects and filters drainage from residential areas and surface streets. With more development, density, traffic and impervious surfaces soon to surround Paramount Park Open Space, it’s ability to function as wetland is paramount.

I am in total support of the City of Shoreline seeking funding through Conservation Futures to purchase these 2 properties.

Patty Hale
16528 8th Ave NE
Shoreline, WA 98155
Former member Shoreline, Parks Recreation and Cultural Services Board
Former King County Parks Commissioner, Shoreline District
February 28, 2019

Conservation Futures Citizens Oversight Committee
201 S. Jackson St., Suite 600,
Seattle, WA 98104

Re: City of Shoreline application for Paramount Park Open Space Acquisition III

Dear Conservation Futures Tax Oversight Committee:

The Shoreline Parks, Recreation and Cultural Services/Tree Board is pleased to support the City of Shoreline’s application for funding to acquire park land. The acquisition of property adjacent to Paramount Open Space would enhance the City’s parks and open space holdings. These properties are especially desirable as they create the possibility of providing public access to the Paramount Open Space from the NE 145th Street corridor.

The City's Parks, Recreation and Open Space Plan 2017-2023 (PROS Plan) established the goal of acquiring five acres of new park land by 2023 and 20 acres by 2030. The City actively engaged the community in developing the PROS Plan by attending neighborhood association meetings, hosting community workshops and conducting several community surveys. The importance of acquiring park land to keep up with the expected growth in Shoreline is a consistent theme among community members.

The PRCS/Tree Board has had the opportunity to review these properties and believe they provide an opportunity to move closer to achieving that goal.

Thank you for giving this proposal serious consideration.

Sincerely,

Christine Southwick, Chair
City of Shoreline Parks, Recreation, and Cultural Services/Tree Board