

1. OPEN SPACE RESOURCES

Please review the attached evaluation criteria. For the proposed acquisition parcel(s), please (1) mark only those criteria that apply, and (2) thoroughly, yet succinctly describe **in the space below** how the proposed acquisition satisfies each marked criteria.

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A. Wildlife habitat or rare plant reserve | <input type="checkbox"/> E. Historic/cultural resources |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> B. Salmon habitat and aquatic resources | <input type="checkbox"/> F. Urban passive-use natural area/greenbelt |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> C. Scenic resources | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> G. Park/open space or natural corridor addition |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> D. Community separator | <input type="checkbox"/> H. Passive recreation opportunity/unmet |

A. Wildlife habitat or rare plant reserve: Wildlife present within this reach of Boise Creek includes elk (North Rainier Elk Herd, White River Unit), one of 10 formally monitored elk herds in the state. Other wildlife species included river otter, mink, marsh hawks, osprey, red tailed hawks, bald eagles, herons, coyote, waterfowl and songbirds.

B. Salmon habitat and aquatic resources: The *Boise Creek Rapid Rural Reconnaissance Report* (KC 2004) determined that historic realignment and channelization of Boise Creek reduced floodplain and wetland areas, limiting landscape area available for floodwater. Streamside riparian habitats are degraded with narrow or non-existent vegetative buffers. Aquatic habitat is minimal with a low abundance of large wood and pool habitat, lack of overhanging cover and off-channel or channel margin habitats. The restoration proposal associated with this acquisition is consistent with the *WRIA 10/12 Salmon Habitat Protection and Restoration Strategy* (WRIA 10/12 2008). This plan identifies protection/restoration of habitat diversity through channel improvements, riparian revegetation, and LWD placement in Boise Creek as a near-term high-priority action that will be most effective in improving conditions necessary to support salmon in WRIA 10/12. Ecosystem Diagnosis/Treatment analysis identified Boise Creek restoration as part of a suite of actions below Mud Mountain Dam that would foster a biological response in Viable Salmonid Population parameters of productivity, capacity, and life history diversity for White River Chinook. This effort noted that White R. tributaries including Boise Creek suffer from low quantities of LWD and poor riparian function. This proposal will also help meet goals in the *Puget Sound Salmon Recovery Plan* (NMFS 2007). Salmon use of Boise Creek is likely limited by high water temperatures, per the *KC Streams Water Quality Index Study* (KC 2009) and *Puyallup River TMDL* (WDOE 2011). Constructing restoration/ projects adjacent to the stream will improve degraded habitat and water quality.

C. Scenic resources: The properties provide views of Pinnacle Peak Park, Boise Creek, the Cascade foothills and adjacent farm lands. This area is one of the most scenic areas in King County.

D. Community separator: The Boise Creek property is near the southern boundary of Enumclaw's Urban Growth Area (UGA) and this rural area has historically served as a buffer to the City's growth. Preserving this area would further differentiate the regional boundary between urban Enumclaw and rural King County.

G. Park/open space, or natural corridor addition: The property identified in this grant is near the Pinnacle Peak Natural Area, managed by the King County Parks Division as passive open space.

2. ADDITIONAL FACTORS

For the **proposed acquisition parcel(s)**, please (1) mark all criteria that apply, and (2) thoroughly, yet succinctly describe **in the space below** how the proposed acquisition satisfies each marked criteria.

- A. Educational/interpretive opportunity
- B. Threat of loss of open space resources
- C. Ownership complexity/willing seller(s)/ownership interest proposed
- D. Partnerships - Describe any public or private partnerships that will enhance this project:
- E. Is the property identified in an adopted park, open space, comprehensive, or community plan?
- F. Transferable Development Credits (TDC) participation

A. Educational/interpretive opportunity: If acquired, these degraded properties would be extensively restored. Restored lands create opportunities for King County Staff to interact with adjacent landowners increases the potential to work with other community members to protect and enhance their properties.

C. Feasibility: ownership complexity/willing seller(s)/community support: The landowners are willing to negotiate the sale of a conservation easement.

E. Is the property identified in an adopted comprehensive plan, park open space, habitat, cultural resource or community plan?: Yes. Support for this proposal can be found in the following documents:

- *Boise Creek Rapid Rural Reconnaissance Report* (KC 2004)
- *WRIA 10/12 Salmon Habitat Protection and Restoration Strategy* (WRIA 10/12 2008)
- *Puget Sound Salmon Recovery Plan* (NMFS 2007)
- *Ecological Preservation Priorities in the White River Sub-basin* (KC 2009)
- *Streams Water Quality Index Study* (KC 2009)
- *Puyallup River TMDL* (WDOE 2011)

3. STEWARDSHIP AND MAINTENANCE

How will the property be stewarded and maintained? Does the property lend itself to volunteer stewardship opportunities? How will ongoing stewardship and maintenance efforts be funded?

Agency Participation - The maintenance and stewardship of these parcels will be the responsibility of the King County Parks Division. Stewardship of these sites will be a partnership between KC staff and community stewardship groups and volunteers. The conservation easements would not change the management objectives of these areas.

Monitoring and Maintenance - Baseline monitoring will be conducted immediately after property acquisition and include a site inventory. This information will provide a foundation for a site management plan, which will guide long-term monitoring, maintenance, restoration, and overall management. Monitoring and maintenance will be accomplished through volunteer work parties, paid staff, and contract crews. Adaptive management will be implemented to respond to other challenges observed through monitoring.

Signage, Inspection and Enforcement - These parcels have a fairly low risk of land-use problems due to the continuation of private ownership. King County Parks will regularly inspect them to insure that the conservation easements are adhered to.

Trails – KC DNRP will not develop a trail on these properties due to their retention in private ownership.

4. PROJECT BUDGET

TOTAL CFT APPLICATION AMOUNT*	\$135,000
--------------------------------------	-----------

Estimation of property value:

Briefly describe how land values have been estimated, i.e. appraisal, property tax assessment, asking price, letter of value or other means.

Budget estimates were correlated through market value comparison in KC appraisal files of comparable properties with similar site influences, and would be confirmed by appraisal prior to acquisition.

ESTIMATED PROJECT COSTS (dollars)	
Total property interest value	\$215,000
Title and appraisal work	\$20,000
Closing, fees, taxes	\$10,000
Relocation	
Hazardous waste reports	\$6,000
Directly related staff, administration and legal costs	\$20,000
Total Project Costs (CFT and other funds)	\$270,000

MATCHING FUNDS SOURCES	Date Expended or Committed	Match Funds Expended or Committed
Coordinated Watershed Management Program		\$135,000
Total Matching Funds (Based on 2-year requirement)		\$135,000
<i>Unidentified Remaining Match Need:</i>		NA


Unidentified remaining match need

Please discuss briefly how the unidentified remaining match need above will be met:






No additional matching funds are needed if 2014 Parks Levy funds are available.


5. IN-KIND CONTRIBUTIONS FROM PARTNERSHIPS

Brief Activity Description	Dollar Value of In-kind Contribution	Status (Completed, or Proposed in future?)	Activity Date Range (When was activity completed? Or, date proposed in future)



Boise Creek Preservation

-  2015 Priority Acquisition
-  Acquisition in Negotiations
-  Public Lands
-  Stream/Creek
-  Road



Department of
Natural Resources and Parks

The information included on this map has been compiled by staff from a variety of sources and is subject to change without notice. King County makes no representations or warranties, express or implied, as to accuracy, completeness, timeliness, or rights to the use of such information. King County shall not be liable for any general, special, indirect, incidental, or consequential damages including, but not limited to, lost revenues or lost profits resulting from the use or misuse of the information contained on this map. Any sale of this map or information on this map is prohibited except by written permission of King County.

Produced by: DNRP GIS/VC/Communications Dept
File: 1501_4594_BoiseCFT_VicinityMap.ai skrau