



**LABORATORY DATA CONSULTANTS, INC.**

7750 El Camino Real, Suite 2L Carlsbad, CA 92009 Phone: 760/634-0437 Fax: 760/634-0439

King County Environmental Laboratory  
322 W. Ewing Street  
Seattle WA 98119  
ATTN: Mr. Fritz Grothkopp

September 27, 2010

SUBJECT: Lower Duwamish Waterway, Data Validation

Dear Mr. Grothkopp,

Enclosed are the final validation reports for the fraction listed below. These SDGs were received on September 13, 2010. Attachment 1 is a summary of the samples that were reviewed for each analysis.

**LDC Project # 23964:**

<b><u>SDG #</u></b>	<b><u>Fraction</u></b>
DPWG33209, DPWG33746	Dioxins/Dibenzofurans

The data validation was performed under EPA Level III guidelines. The analyses were validated using the following documents, as applicable to each method:

- Lower Duwamish Waterway Source Tracking in King County Combined Sewer System Sampling and Analysis Plan, June 2010
- EPA Region 10 SOP for the Validation of Polychlorinated Dibenzodioxin( PCDD) and Polychlorinated Dibenzofuran(PCDF) Data, Revision 2.0, January 1996

Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Stella S. Cuenco  
Data Validation Operations Manager/Senior Chemist

Attachment 1

LDC #23964 (King County - Seattle WA / Lower Duwamish Water)

Project #

LDC	SDG#	DATE REC'D	(3) DATE DUE	Dioxins (1613B)		W T		W S		W S		W S		W S		W S		W S		W S		W S		W S		W S	
				W	T	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S
A	DPWG33209	09/13/10	10/04/10	2	0																						
B	DPWG33746	09/13/10	10/04/10	3	0																						
Total				5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5

Shaded cells indicate Level IV validation (all other cells are Level II validation). These sample counts do not include MS/MSD, and DUPs

**Lower Duwamish Waterway  
Data Validation Reports  
LDC #23964**

Dioxins/Dibenzofurans

**LDC**

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.  
Data Validation Report**

**Project/Site Name:** Lower Duwamish Waterway  
**Collection Date:** May 2 through May 5, 2009  
**LDC Report Date:** September 27, 2010  
**Matrix:** Water  
**Parameters:** Dioxins/Dibenzofurans  
**Validation Level:** EPA Level III  
**Laboratory:** Analytical Perspectives

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** DPWG33209

**Sample Identification**

L47992-2  
L48009-2

## **Introduction**

This data review covers 2 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 1613B for Polychlorinated Dioxins/Dibenzofurans.

This review follows the Lower Duwamish Waterway Source Tracking in King County Combined Sewer System Sampling and Analysis Plan (June 2010) and EPA Region 10 SOP for the Validation of Polychlorinated Dibenzodioxin (PCDD) and Polychlorinated Dibenzofuran (PCDF) Data (Revision 2.0, January 31, 1996).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XIV.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- J Indicates an estimated value.
  - J1 Blank Contamination: Indicates possible high bias and/or false positives.
  - J2 Calibration Range exceeded: Indicates possible low bias.
  - J3 Holding times not met: Indicates low bias for most analytes.
  - J4 Other QC parameters outside control limits: bias not readily determined.
  - J5 Other QC parameters outside control limits. The reported results appear to be biased high. The actual value of target compound in the sample may be lower than the value reported by the laboratory.
  - J6 Other QC parameters outside control limits. The reported results appear to be biased low. The actual value of target compound in the sample may be higher than the value reported by the laboratory.
- R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.
- N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- J Indicates an estimated value.
  - J1 Blank Contamination: Indicates possible high bias and/or false positives.
  - J2 Calibration Range exceeded: Indicates possible low bias.
  - J3 Holding times not met: Indicates low bias for most analytes.
  - J4 Other QC parameters outside control limits: bias not readily determined.
  - J5 Other QC parameters outside control limits. The reported results appear to be biased high. The actual value of target compound in the sample may be lower than the value reported by the laboratory.
  - J6 Other QC parameters outside control limits. The reported results appear to be biased low. The actual value of target compound in the sample may be higher than the value reported by the laboratory.
- R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.
- N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

## I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met with the following exceptions:

Sample	Compound	Total Days From Sample Collection Until Extraction	Required Holding Time (in Days) From Sample Collection Until Extraction	Flag	A or P
L47992-2	All TCL compounds	1 year 24 days	1 year	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P
L48009-2	All TCL compounds	1 year 21 days	1 year	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

## II. HRGC/HRMS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at the required daily frequency.

Retention time windows were established for all homologues. The chromatographic resolution between  $^{13}\text{C}$ -2,3,7,8-TCDD and  $^{13}\text{C}$ -1,2,3,4-TCDD was less than or equal to 25%.

## III. Initial Calibration

A five point initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all compounds.

The ion abundance ratios for all PCDDs and PCDFs were within validation criteria.

## IV. Routine Calibration (Continuing)

Routine calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

All of the routine calibration concentrations were within the QC limits.

The ion abundance ratios for all PCDDs and PCDFs were within method criteria.

## V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No polychlorinated dioxin/dibenzofuran contaminants were found in the method blanks with the following exceptions:



Method Blank ID	Extraction Date	Compound	Concentration	Associated Samples
WG32830-101	5/26/10	1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD OCDD OCDF Total HpCDD	0.551 pg/L 2.19 pg/L 0.735 pg/L 0.551 pg/L	All samples in SDG DPWG33209

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the method blanks. The sample concentrations were either not detected or were significantly greater (>5X blank contaminants) than the concentrations found in the associated method blanks.

Method blank results flagged "K" by the laboratory as estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC) were considered not detected.

#### **VI. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates**

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

#### **VII. Ongoing Precision & Recovery (OPR) and Standard Reference Material (SRM) Samples**

Percent recoveries (%R) of the ongoing precision and recovery samples were within QC limits.

#### **VIII. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control**

Not applicable.

#### **IX. Internal Standards**

All internal standard recoveries were within QC limits.

#### **X. Target Compound Identifications**

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

#### **XI. Compound Quantitation and CRQLs**

All compound quantitation and CRQLs were within validation criteria with the following exceptions:

Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG DPWG33209	All TCL compounds flagged "K" by the laboratory as estimated maximum possible concentration.	U	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

## XII. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

## XIII. Overall Assessment of Data

The overall assessment of data was acceptable. In the case where more than one result was reported for an individual sample, the least technically acceptable results were rejected as follows:

Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG DPWG33209	2,3,7,8-TCDF (from DB-5)	R	A

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

## XIV. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

## XV. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

**Lower Duwamish Waterway  
Dioxins/Dibenzofurans - Data Qualification Summary - SDG DPWG33209**

<b>SDG</b>	<b>Sample</b>	<b>Compound</b>	<b>Flag</b>	<b>A or P</b>	<b>Reason</b>
DPWG33209	L47992-2 L48009-2	All TCL compounds	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P	Technical holding times
DPWG33209	L47992-2 L48009-2	All TCL compounds flagged "K" by the laboratory as estimated maximum possible concentration.	U	A	Compound quantitation and CRQLs (EMPC)
DPWG33209	L47992-2 L48009-2	2,3,7,8-TCDF (from DB-5)	R	A	Overall assessment of data

**Lower Duwamish Waterway  
Dioxins/Dibenzofurans - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG  
DPWG33209**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC #: 23964A321

**VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**

Date: 9/23/10

SDG #: DPWG33209

Level III

Page: 1 of 1

Laboratory: Analytical Perspectives

Reviewer: [Signature]

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

**METHOD:** HRGC/HRMS <sup>Dioxins</sup> Polychlorinated Biphenyl Congeners (EPA Method 1668B) 1613B

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	SW	Sampling dates: <u>9/3-10/26/09 5/2-5/09</u>
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	<u>20% for labeled standards</u>
IV.	Routine calibration/ICV	A	
V.	Blanks	SW	
VI.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	<u>diand spiked</u>
VII.	Laboratory control samples	D	<u>OPR</u>
VIII.	Regional quality assurance and quality control	N	
IX.	Internal standards	A	
X.	Target compound identifications	N	
XI.	Compound quantitation and CRQLs	SW	
XII.	System performance	N	
XIII.	Overall assessment of data	SW	
XIV.	Field duplicates	N	
XV.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable  
 N = Not provided/applicable  
 SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected  
 R = Rinsate  
 FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate  
 TB = Trip blank  
 EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

1	L47992-2	W	11	<u>WF32830-101</u>	21		31	
2	L48009-2	V	12		22		32	
3			13		23		33	
4			14		24		34	
5			15		25		35	
6			16		26		36	
7			17		27		37	
8			18		28		38	
9			19		29		39	
10			20		30		40	

## VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: HRGC/HRMS Dioxins/Dibenzofurans (EPA SW 846 Method 8290)

A. 2,3,7,8-TCDD	F. 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD	K. 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF	P. 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF	U. Total HpCDD
B. 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD	G. OCDD	L. 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF	Q. OCDF	V. Total TCDF
C. 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD	H. 2,3,7,8-TCDF	M. 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF	R. Total TCDD	W. Total PeCDF
D. 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD	I. 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF	N. 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF	S. Total PeCDD	X. Total HxCDF
E. 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD	J. 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF	O. 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF	T. Total HxCDD	Y. Total HpCDF

Notes:



LDC #: 2394 A1  
SDG #: SEL CONY

### VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

Page: 1 of 1  
Reviewer: [Signature]  
2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

#### Blanks

Dioxins

METHOD: HRGC/HRMS PCB Congeners (EPA Method 1668-1613B)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

- Y  N  N/A Were all samples associated with a method blank?
- Y  N  N/A Was a method blank performed for each matrix and whenever a sample extraction was performed?
- Y  N  N/A Was the method blank contaminated? If yes, please see qualification below.

Blank extraction date: 5/26/10      Blank analysis date: 6/4/10  
Conc. units: pg/L      Associated samples: all (>5x)

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification							
<del>WF</del>	<del>2830-10</del>								
F	0.551								
F	2.19								
Q	0.735								
U	0.551								

Blank extraction date: \_\_\_\_\_      Blank analysis date: \_\_\_\_\_  
Conc. units: \_\_\_\_\_      Associated samples: \_\_\_\_\_

Compound	Blank ID	Sample Identification							

CIRCLED RESULTS WERE NOT QUALIFIED. ALL RESULTS NOT CIRCLED WERE QUALIFIED BY THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT:  
All contaminants within five times the method blank concentration were qualified as not detected, "U".







**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.  
Data Validation Report**

**Project/Site Name:** Lower Duwamish Waterway  
**Collection Date:** September 3 through October 26, 2009  
**LDC Report Date:** September 27, 2010  
**Matrix:** Water  
**Parameters:** Dioxins/Dibenzofurans  
**Validation Level:** EPA Level III  
**Laboratory:** Analytical Perspectives

**Sample Delivery Group (SDG):** DPWG33746

**Sample Identification**

L49003-1  
L49199-5  
L49487-1

## Introduction

This data review covers 3 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 1613B for Polychlorinated Dioxins/Dibenzofurans.

This review follows the Lower Duwamish Waterway Source Tracking in King County Combined Sewer System Sampling and Analysis Plan (June 2010) and EPA Region 10 SOP for the Validation of Polychlorinated Dibenzodioxin (PCDD) and Polychlorinated Dibenzofuran (PCDF) Data (Revision 2.0, January 31, 1996).

A qualification summary table is provided at the end of this report if data has been qualified. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XIV.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- J Indicates an estimated value.
  - J1 Blank Contamination: Indicates possible high bias and/or false positives.
  - J2 Calibration Range exceeded: Indicates possible low bias.
  - J3 Holding times not met: Indicates low bias for most analytes.
  - J4 Other QC parameters outside control limits: bias not readily determined.
  - J5 Other QC parameters outside control limits. The reported results appear to be biased high. The actual value of target compound in the sample may be lower than the value reported by the laboratory.
  - J6 Other QC parameters outside control limits. The reported results appear to be biased low. The actual value of target compound in the sample may be higher than the value reported by the laboratory.
- R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.
- N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

## **I. Technical Holding Times**

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

## **II. HRGC/HRMS Instrument Performance Check**

Instrument performance was checked at the required daily frequency.

Retention time windows were established for all homologues. The chromatographic resolution between  $^{13}\text{C}$ -2,3,7,8-TCDD and  $^{13}\text{C}$ -1,2,3,4-TCDD was less than or equal to 25%.

## **III. Initial Calibration**

A five point initial calibration was performed as required by the method.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all compounds.

The ion abundance ratios for all PCDDs and PCDFs were within validation criteria.

## **IV. Routine Calibration (Continuing)**

Routine calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

All of the routine calibration concentrations were within the QC limits.

The ion abundance ratios for all PCDDs and PCDFs were within method criteria.

## **V. Blanks**

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No polychlorinated dioxin/dibenzofuran contaminants were found in the method blanks with the following exceptions:

Method Blank ID	Extraction Date	Compound	Concentration	Associated Samples
WG33371-101	7/19/10	2,3,7,8-TCDD 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD OCDD 2,3,7,8-TCDF 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF OCDF Total PeCDD	1.14 pg/L 1.18 pg/L 0.856 pg/L 3.38 pg/L 0.678 pg/L 0.787 pg/L 0.928 pg/L 1.02 pg/L 1.22 pg/L 1.18 pg/L	All samples in SDG DPWG33746

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the method blanks. The sample concentrations were either not detected or were significantly greater (>5X blank contaminants) than the concentrations found in the associated method blanks with the following exceptions:

Sample	Compound	Reported Concentration	Modified Final Concentration
L49003-1	2,3,7,8-TCDD 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF Total PeCDD (5X)	1.64 pg/L 2.84 pg/L 7.79 pg/L	1.64U pg/L 2.84U pg/L 7.79U pg/L
L49199-5 (5X)	2,3,7,8-TCDF	2.02 pg/L	2.02U pg/L
L49487-1	1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD 2,3,7,8-TCDF 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF	3.24 pg/L 2.11 pg/L 2.21 pg/L 3.69 pg/L	3.24U pg/L 2.11U pg/L 2.21U pg/L 3.69U pg/L

Method blank results flagged "K" by the laboratory as estimated maximum possible concentration (EMPC) were considered not detected.

## VI. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

## VII. Ongoing Precision & Recovery (OPR) and Standard Reference Material (SRM) Samples

Percent recoveries (%R) of the ongoing precision and recovery samples were within QC limits.

## VIII. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

## IX. Internal Standards

All internal standard recoveries were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

Sample	Internal Standards	%R (Limits)	Compound	Flag	A or P
L49003-1	<sup>13</sup> C-2,3,7,8-TCDD <sup>13</sup> C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD <sup>13</sup> C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD <sup>13</sup> C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD <sup>13</sup> C-2,3,7,8-TCDF <sup>13</sup> C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF <sup>13</sup> C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF <sup>13</sup> C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF <sup>13</sup> C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF <sup>13</sup> C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF <sup>13</sup> C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF	17.5 (25-164) 20.2 (32-141) 20.4 (28-130) 22.1 (23-140) 17.4 (24-169) 21.2 (26-152) 21.8 (26-123) 20.9 (29-147) 17.8 (28-136) 23.7 (28-143) 23.3 (26-138)	2,3,7,8-TCDD 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD OCDD 2,3,7,8-TCDF 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF Total TCDD Total HxCDD Total HpCDD Total TCDF Total HxCDF Total HpCDF	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P
L49003-1 (5X)	<sup>13</sup> C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF <sup>13</sup> C-2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF	23.9 (24-185) 20.4 (21-141)	1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF Total PeCDF	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P
L49199-5	<sup>13</sup> C-2,3,7,8-TCDD <sup>13</sup> C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD <sup>13</sup> C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD <sup>13</sup> C-2,3,7,8-TCDF <sup>13</sup> C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF <sup>13</sup> C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF <sup>13</sup> C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF <sup>13</sup> C-2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF <sup>13</sup> C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF <sup>13</sup> C-1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF	22.2 (25-164) 23.1 (32-141) 23.3 (28-130) 21.1 (24-169) 22.0 (26-152) 22.8 (26-123) 19.4 (29-147) 22.4 (28-136) 23.9 (28-143) 23.9 (26-138)	2,3,7,8-TCDD 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD 2,3,7,8-TCDF 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF Total TCDD Total HxCDD Total TCDF Total HxCDF Total HpCDF	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P

## X. Target Compound Identifications

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

## XI. Compound Quantitation and CRQLs

All compound quantitation and CRQLs were within validation criteria with the following exceptions:

Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG DPWG33746	All TCL compounds flagged "K" by the laboratory as estimated maximum possible concentration.	U	A

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

## XII. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

## XIII. Overall Assessment of Data

The overall assessment of data was acceptable. In the case where more than one result was reported for an individual sample, the least technically acceptable results were rejected as follows:

Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P
All samples in SDG DPWG33746	2,3,7,8-TCDF (from DB-5)	R	A

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report if data has been qualified.

## XIV. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

## XV. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.



**Lower Duwamish Waterway  
Dioxins/Dibenzofurans - Data Qualification Summary - SDG DPWG33746**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason
DPWG33746	L49003-1	2,3,7,8-TCDD 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD OCDD 2,3,7,8-TCDF 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF Total TCDD Total HxCDD Total HpCDD Total TCDF Total HxCDF Total HpCDF 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF Total PeCDF	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P	Internal standards (%R)
DPWG33746	L49199-5	2,3,7,8-TCDD 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD 2,3,7,8-TCDF 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF Total TCDD Total HxCDD Total TCDF Total HxCDF Total HpCDF	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P	Internal standards (%R)
DPWG33746	L49003-1 L49199-5 L49487-1	All TCL compounds flagged "K" by the laboratory as estimated maximum possible concentration.	U	A	Compound quantitation and CRQLs (EMPC)
DPWG33746	L49003-1 L49199-5 L49487-1	2,3,7,8-TCDF (from DB-5)	R	A	Overall assessment of data

**Lower Duwamish Waterway  
Dioxins/Dibenzofurans - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG  
DPWG33746**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Modified Final Concentration	A or P
DPWG33746	L49003-1	2,3,7,8-TCDD 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF Total PeCDD (5X)	1.64U pg/L 2.84U pg/L 7.79U pg/L	A
DPWG33746	L49199-5 (5X)	2,3,7,8-TCDF	2.02U pg/L	A
DPWG33746	L49487-1	1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD 2,3,7,8-TCDF 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF	3.24U pg/L 2.11U pg/L 2.21U pg/L 3.69U pg/L	A

LDC #: 23964B3

**VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET**

Date: 9/23/10

SDG #: DPWG33746

Level III

Page: 1 of 1

Laboratory: Analytical Perspectives

Reviewer: [Signature]

2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

**METHOD:** HRGC/HRMS Polychlorinated Biphenyl Congeners (EPA Method 1668B) <sup>Dioxins</sup> 7613B

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 9/3-10/26/09
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	
IV.	Routine calibration/ICV	A	
V.	Blanks	W	
VI.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	N	
VII.	Laboratory control samples	A	OPR
VIII.	Regional quality assurance and quality control	N	
IX.	Internal standards	W	
X.	Target compound identifications	N	
XI.	Compound quantitation and CRQLs	SW	
XII.	System performance	N	
XIII.	Overall assessment of data	W	
XIV.	Field duplicates	N	
XV.	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable  
 N = Not provided/applicable  
 SW = See worksheet

ND = No compounds detected  
 R = Rinsate  
 FB = Field blank

D = Duplicate  
 TB = Trip blank  
 EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

1	L49003-1	W	11	W 333710 /	21		31	
2	L49199-5	I	12		22		32	
3	L49487-1	V	13		23		33	
4			14		24		34	
5			15		25		35	
6			16		26		36	
7			17		27		37	
8			18		28		38	
9			19		29		39	
10			20		30		40	

## VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET

METHOD: HRGC/HRMS Dioxins/Dibenzofurans (EPA SW 846 Method 8290)

A. 2,3,7,8-TCDD	F. 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD	K. 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF	P. 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF	U. Total HpCDD
B. 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD	G. OCDD	L. 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF	Q. OCDF	V. Total TCDF
C. 1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD	H. 2,3,7,8-TCDF	M. 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF	R. Total TCDD	W. Total PeCDF
D. 1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD	I. 1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF	N. 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF	S. Total PeCDD	X. Total HxCDF
E. 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD	J. 2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF	O. 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF	T. Total HxCDD	Y. Total HpCDF

Notes:



LDC # 23964B21  
 SDG # See count

**VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET**  
**Internal Standards**

Page: 1 of 1  
 Reviewer: [Signature]  
 2nd Reviewer: [Signature]

**METHOD:** HRGC/HRMS Dioxins/Dibenzofurans (EPA SW 846 Method 8290)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

- N N/A Are all internal standard recoveries were within the 40-135% criteria?  
 N N/A Was the S/N ratio all internal standard peaks  $\geq 10$ ?

#	Date	Lab ID/Reference	Internal Standard	% Recovery (Limit: 40-135%)	Qualifications
		1	13C-A	17.5 (25-164)	✓/N/A (A, C-H K-P,R T-V,X,Y)
			13C-C	20.2 (32-141)	
			13C-D	20.4 (28-130)	
			13C-F	22.1 (23-140)	
			13C-H	17.4 (24-169)	
			13C-K	21.2 (26-152)	
			13C-L	21.8 (26-123)	
			13C-N	20.9 (29-147)	
			13C-M	17.8 (28-136)	
			13C-O	23.7 (28-143)	
			13C-P	23.3 (26-138)	✓
		1 (5x)	13C-I	23.9 (24-185)	✓/N/A (I, J, W)
			13C-J	20.4 (21-141)	
		2	13C-A	22.2 (25-164)	✓/N/A (A, C-Z, H, K-P, R, T V, X, Y)
			13C-C	23.1 (32-141)	
			13C-D	23.3 (28-130)	
			13C-H	21.1 (24-169)	
			13C-K	22.0 (26-152)	

Internal Standards	Check Standard Used	Internal Standards	Check Standard Used
A. <del><sup>13</sup>C-2,3,7,8-TCDF</del>		I. <del><sup>13</sup>C-OCDD</del>	
B. <del><sup>13</sup>C-2,3,7,8-TCDD</del>		K. <del><sup>13</sup>C-1,2,3,4-TCDD</del>	
C. <del><sup>13</sup>C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF</del>		L. <del><sup>13</sup>C-1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD</del>	
D. <del><sup>13</sup>C-1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD</del>		M.	
E. <del><sup>13</sup>C-1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF</del>		N.	
F. <del><sup>13</sup>C-1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD</del>		O.	
G. <del><sup>13</sup>C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF</del>		P.	
F. <del><sup>13</sup>C-1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpSDD</del>			







LDC #: 2396432  
SDG #: see cover

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET  
Overall Assessment of Data

Page: 1 of 1  
Reviewer: 9  
2nd Reviewer: h

METHOD: HRGC/HRMS Dioxins/Dibenzofurans (EPA SW 846 Method 8290) 1613B

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

All available information pertaining to the data were reviewed using professional judgement to compliment the determination of the overall quality of the data.

Y N N/A Was the overall quality and usability of the data acceptable?

#	Date	Sample ID	Finding	Associated Samples	Qualifications
		<u>011</u>	<u>2,3,7,8-TCDF on</u> <u>DB-5</u>	<u>all</u>	<u>R/A</u>

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_