# Guidelines for Bank Stabilization Projects

In the Riverine Environments of King County

King County
Department of Public Works
Surface Water Management Division
Seattle, Washington

June 1993

#### KING COUNTY EXECUTIVE

Tim Hill

#### KING COUNTY COUNCIL

Audrey Gruger, District 1

Cynthia Sullivan, District 2

Brian Derdowski, District 3

Larry Phillips, District 4

Bruce Laing, District 5

Ron Sims, District 6

Paul Barden, District 7

Greg Nickels, District 8

Kent Pullen, District 9

#### DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

Paul Tanaka, Director

#### SURFACE WATER MANAGEMENT DIVISION

Jim Kramer, Manager

Ken Guy, Assistant Manager

Dave Clark, Manager, River Management Section

#### **CONTRIBUTING STAFF**

Jeanne M. Stypula, P.E., Project Manager

Alan W. Johnson, Technical Specialist

Clint Loper, P.E., Senior Engineer

Sue Perkins, Earth Scientist

Ruoxi Zhang, Planning Graphics Supervisor

Laurel Preston, Graphics Technician

Ted Krause, Planning Support Technician

Wendy Gable, Graphics Technician

Ken Zweig, Planning Support Technician

Text will be made available in large print, braille, or audiotape as required.

Printed on recycled paper.

#### THIS DOCUMENT SHOULD BE REFERENCED AS FOLLOWS:

Johnson, A.W. and J.M. Stypula. eds. 1993. Guidelines for Bank Stabilizaton Projects in the Riverine Environments of King County. King County Department of Public Works, Surface Water Management Division, Seattle, Wash.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

This document was produced with the assistance of many individuals who provided invaluable knowledge and technical expertise. The Surface Water Management Division wishes to acknowledge Ms. Diane Ryba, Dr. Pat Trotter, Dr. John F. Orsborn, P.E., and Ms. Andrea Lucas for their contributions to this project in the fields of botany, fisheries, engineering and biostabilization.

The Division also wishes to acknowledge Dr. Gary Minton, Ms. Robbin Sotir, Dr. Nelson Nunnally, and Mr. Glenn Grette for their efforts in the initial phase of this project under the direction of Ms. Debra Hendrickson, former River Planning Program Manager and Mr. Tom Bean, P.E., Senior Engineer.

This project was partially funded from the state Flood Control Assistance Account Program (FCAAP), administered by the Washington State Department of Ecology.

Many thanks to all reviewers who provided insight, advice, and suggestions during the preparation of this document.

# **CONTENTS**

|                   |        |   | PAGE |
|-------------------|--------|---|------|
| PREFAC            | ΣE     |   | XV   |
|                   |        |   |      |
| CHAPT             | ER 1   | INTRODUCTION                                    |      |
| 1.1<br>1.2<br>1.3 | Scope  | eed For A New Approach                          | 1-2  |
| CHAPT             | ER 2   | THE RIVERINE ENVIRONMENT                        |      |
| 2.1               | Stream | n Dynamics and Channel Erosion Processes        | 2-1  |
|                   | 2.1.1  | Floodplain Formation                            |      |
|                   | 2.1.2  | Sediment Size and Bank Composition              | 2-3  |
|                   | 2.1.3  | Channel Pattern and Channel Migration Processes | 2-4  |
|                   | 2.1.4  | River Dynamics                                  | 2-5  |
| 2.2.              | Functi | ions and Values of Riparian Systems             |      |
|                   | 2.2.1  | The Riparian Corridor                           | 2-6  |
|                   | 2.2.2  | Riparian Shade and Stream Temperatures          |      |
|                   | 2.2.3  | Impacts to Riparian Areas                       |      |
| 2.3               | Fish C | Communities in Pacific Northwest Streams        | 2-9  |
|                   | 2.3.1  | Salmonid Life Histories                         | 2-9  |
|                   | 2.3.2  | Streambank Stabilization and Fish Habitat       | 2-12 |
| CHAPT             | ER 3   | MODES AND CAUSES OF BANK FAILURES               |      |
| 3.1               | Stream | nbank Zones                                     | 3-1  |
| 3.2               | Chara  | cteristics of Bed and Bank Material             | 3-2  |
| 3.3               | Stream | nbank Failures                                  | 3-2  |
| 3.4               | Modes  | s of Failure                                    | 3-3  |
| 3.5               | Cause  | s of Failure                                    | 3-3  |
| 3.6               | Classi | fication of Riprap Failures                     | 3-6  |
|                   | 3.6.1  | Particle Erosion                                | 3-6  |
|                   | 3.6.2  | Translational Slide                             | 3-6  |
|                   | 3.6.3  | Modified Slump                                  | 3-8  |
|                   | 3.6.4  | Slump   | 3-9  |
|                   | 365    | Factors Contributing to Ripran Failures         | 3-10 |

# **CONTENTS**, continued

|       |         |   | PAGE   |
|-------|---------|---|--------|
| CHAPT | ER 4    | PROJECT PLANNING  |        |
| 4.1   | Prelin  | ninary Investigations   | . 4-1  |
|       |         | Field Reconnaissance  |        |
|       |         | Problem Identification and Conceptual Solutions                   |        |
|       |         | Feasibility Analysis of Project Alternatives                      |        |
| 4.2   |         | nediate Project Stage   |        |
|       |         | Data Collection and Analysis                                      |        |
|       |         | Permit Applications   |        |
| 4.3   |         | Design  |        |
|       |         | Plans and Specifications  |        |
|       | 4.3.2   | Project Construction  | . 4-9  |
| 4.4   | Post-C  | Construction  | . 4-10 |
| CHAPT | ER 5    | PERMITS AND POLICIES  |        |
| 5.1   | An O    | verview of Permits  | . 5-1  |
| 5.2   | King    | County Regulations  | . 5-3  |
|       | 5.2.1   | Sensitive Areas Ordinance   | . 5-3  |
|       | 5.2.2   | Shoreline Master Program  | . 5-3  |
| 5.3   | King    | County Clearing/Grading Permit                                    | . 5-4  |
| 5.4   | King    | County Shoreline Permits  | . 5-5  |
|       | 5.4.1   | Shoreline Substantial Development Permit                          | . 5-5  |
|       | 5.4.2   | Shoreline Conditional Use Permit                                  | . 5-6  |
|       | 5.4.3   | Shoreline Variance  | . 5-6  |
|       | 5.4.4   | Shoreline Exemption   | . 5-7  |
| 5.5   |         | Environmental Policy Act  |        |
| 5.6   | State 1 | Permits   | . 5-8  |
|       |         | Hydraulic Project Approval  |        |
|       |         | Coastal Zone Management Certification or Determination            |        |
|       | 5.6.3   | 401 Water Quality Certification                                   | . 5-9  |
|       | 5.6.4   | Temporary Water Quality Modification Permit                       |        |
|       | 5.6.5   | Aquatic Land Use Authorization                                    |        |
| 5.7   | Federa  | al Permits  |        |
|       | 5.7.1   | Section 404 Permit  |        |
|       | 5.7.2   | Section 10 Permit   |        |
| 5.8   |         | icts In Regulatory Requirements                                   |        |
|       |         | Contradictory Program Goals                                       |        |
|       | 5.8.2   | Design Criteria Related to Public Funding and Assistance Programs |        |

# CONTENTS, continued

|        |        |   | PAGE |
|--------|--------|---|------|
| CHAPTE | ER 6   | ROLE AND USE OF VEGETATION                          |      |
| 6.1    | Effec  | t of Vegetation on Bank Stability                   | 6-1  |
| 6.2    | Limit  | ations of Vegetative Measures                       | 6-2  |
| 6.3    |        | Selection   |      |
|        |        | Checklists for Plant Selection                      |      |
|        |        | Plant Communities                                   |      |
|        |        | Soils   |      |
|        |        | Mulches   |      |
| 6.4    |        | onmental Concerns in Plant Selection                |      |
| 6.5    | Prote  | cting Riparian Vegetation                           | 6-19 |
| CHAPTE | ER 7   | DESIGN GUIDELINES                                   |      |
| 7.1    | Stream | mbank Zones   | 7-1  |
|        | 7.1.1  | Toe Zone  | 7-2  |
|        | 7.1.2  | Bank and Overbank Zones                             | 7-2  |
| 7.2    | Desig  | n Options and Criteria for Different Methods        | 7-2  |
|        |        | General Design Considerations                       |      |
|        | 7.2.2  | Rock Protection Methods                             | 7-4  |
|        | 7.2.3  | Vegetative Methods                                  | 7-13 |
|        | 7.2.4  | Integrated Methods                                  | 7-18 |
|        |        | Fish Habitat Components                             |      |
|        |        | Summary of Design Considerations                    |      |
| 7.3    | Desig  | n Drawings, Plans and Specifications                | 7-27 |
| CHAPTE | ER 8   | CONSTRUCTION PROCEDURES                             |      |
| 8.1    | Gene   | ral Construction Planning                           | 8-1  |
|        | 8.1.1  | Construction Supervision                            | 8-1  |
|        | 8.1.2  | Minimizing Site Disturbances During Construction    | 8-2  |
|        |        | Site Preparation                                    |      |
|        |        | Labor Needs   |      |
| 8.2    | Const  | truction Planning for Vegetative Methods            | 8-3  |
|        |        | Acquisition of Plant Material                       |      |
|        |        | Factors Affecting Plant Costs                       |      |
|        | 8.2.3  | Installation Timing for Vegetative Methods          | 8-5  |
|        |        | Handling, Delivery and Storage of Plant Materials   |      |
|        | 8.2.5  | General Installation Procedures for Plant Materials | 8-8  |

# **CONTENTS**, continued

|        |                                  |   | PAGE                        |
|--------|----------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| 8.3    | 8.3.1<br>8.3.2<br>8.3.3<br>8.3.4 | lation Procedures for Different Methods  Rock Protection  Vegetative Methods  Integrated Methods  Habitat Components  ruction Inspection and Site Cleanup | 8-9<br>8-10<br>8-19<br>8-25 |
| 0.4    | Collst                           | ruction hispection and site Cleanup   | 0-20                        |
| CHAPT  | ER 9                             | LONG-TERM SITE MANAGEMENT   |                             |
| 9.1    | 9.1.1                            | Rock Structures   | 9-1                         |
| 9.2    |                                  | Vegetative Systems  |                             |
| GLOSS  | SARY                             |   |                             |
| REFERE | NCES                             | CITED   |                             |
| APPEN  | DIX A:                           | A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF MAJOR RIVER SYSTEMS IN KING COUN<br>WITH NOTES ON FISH UTILIZATION AND SALMONID HABITAT<br>REQUIREMENTS                            | ΝTΥ                         |
| APPEN  | DIX B:                           | AGENCY AND TRIBAL CONTACTS  |                             |
| APPEN  | DIX C:                           | METHODS FOR RIPRAP DESIGN   |                             |
| APPEN  | DIX D:                           | EXAMPLE CONTRACT SPECIFICATIONS   |                             |

# LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

| FIGURE |  | PAGE |
|--------|--|------|
| 2.1    | Location map of the major river systems in King County.  | 2-2  |
| 2.2    | Valley cross-section showing relation of present channel to the floodplain and a terrace (abandoned floodplain). | 2-3  |
| 2.3    | Lateral migration.   | 2-4  |
| 2.4    | Neck cutoff.   | 2-5  |
| 2.5    | Chute cutoff.  | 2-6  |
| 2.6    | Avulsion   | 2-6  |
| 2.7    | Typical life cycle of anadromous salmonids.  | 2-10 |
| 2.8    | Rock outcrop-natural bankside feature showing positions typically occupied by fish.                              | 213  |
| 2.9    | Uprooted tree-natural bankside feature showing positions typically occupied by fish.                             | 2-14 |
| 2.10   | Natural in-channel boulders showing positions typically occupied by fish   | 2-14 |
| 3.1    | Section of streambank zones in natural channels.   | 3-1  |
| 3.2    | Erosion and deposition caused by spiral secondary flow.  | 3-4  |
| 3.3    | Undercutting of a composite bank.  | 3-5  |
| 3.4    | Advanced stage of failure caused by particle erosion.  | 3-7  |
| 3.5    | Failure caused by a translational slide.   | 3-7  |
| 3.6    | Failure caused by a modified slump.  | 3-9  |
| 3.7    | Failure caused by a slump.   | 3-10 |
| 4.1    | Associated elements of bank stabilization projects.  | 4-2  |
| 4.2    | Evaluation and selection of project solutions.   | 4-6  |

# LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS, continued

| IGURE |  | PAGE   |
|-------|--|--------|
| 7.1   | A bank stabilization project with a rock toe.                              | 7-1    |
| 7.2   | Setback levee.   | 7-3    |
| 7.3   | A schematic of the minimum extent of protection required at a channel bend | 7-4    |
| 7.4   | Downstream oriented rock deflector keyed into a streambank.                | 7-6    |
| 7.5   | Schematic diagram of a deflector.  | 7-7    |
| 7.6   | Turning rocks used to reduce erosion on the outside of a bend.             | 7-11   |
| 7.7   | Tie-back trench and revetment to prevent flanking.                         | 7-12   |
| 7.8   | Live stakes.   | 7-15   |
| 7.9   | Fascines.  | 7-16   |
| 7.10  | Brush mattress with a fascine.   | 7-17   |
| 7.11  | Brush layers.  | 7-18   |
| 7.12  | Joint planting.  | 7-19   |
| 7.13  | Vegetated geogrid.   | 7-20   |
| 7.14  | Live cribwall.   | 7-21   |
| 7.15  | Tree revetment.  | 7-22   |
| 7.16  | Bank protection using large woody debris (Revised April 2009)              | 7-24   |
| 7.17  | Boulder clusters.  | . 7-25 |
| 7.18  | Examples of symbols for plans and specifications.                          | . 7-30 |
| 8.1a  | Installation of rooted stock—single-stem tree.                             | . 8-11 |
| 8 1h  | Installation of rooted stock—shrub   | 8-11   |

# LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS, continued

| FIGURE |  | PAGE |
|--------|--|------|
| 8.1c   | Installation of rooted stock—multi-stem tree.                                | 8-12 |
| 8.2    | Installation of live stakes shown with an optional rock toe key.             | 8-14 |
| 8.3    | Installation of fascine bundles.   | 8-16 |
| 8.4    | Installation of a brush mattress with an optional fascine and rock toe       | 8-17 |
| 8.5    | Installation of brush layers.  | 8-18 |
| 8.6    | Installation of joint planting.  | 8-19 |
| 8.7    | Installation of a vegetated geogrid shown with an optiona rock toe key       | 8-20 |
| 8.8    | Vegetated geogrid installation using construction jigs and batter boards     | 8-22 |
| 8.9    | Installation of a live cribwall.   | 8-24 |
| 8.10   | Installation of a tree revetment.  | 8-26 |
| 8.11   | Integrated system using large woody debris (Revised April 2009)              | 8-27 |
| A.1    | Washington State water resource inventory areas (WRIAs) of King County       | A-2  |
| C.1    | Nomograph for determining D <sup>50</sup> based on velocity and flow depth   | C-6  |
| C.2    | Nomograph for determining the stone size based on velocities and side slopes | C-7  |

# **LIST OF TABLES**

| TABLE |  | PAGE |
|-------|--|------|
| 4.1   | Field reconnaissance task list.  | 4-4  |
| 4.2   | Technical analyses suggested for bank stabilization projects.  | 4-8  |
| 5.1   | A listing of permits and their general processing timelines for King County, Washington State and federal agencies.                                | 5-2  |
| 6.1   | A checklist for selecting the most appropriate vegetation for a bank stabilization project.  | 6-3  |
| 6.2   | Characteristics of some native western Washington trees and shrubs with high utility for bank stabilization projects.                              | 6-5  |
| 6.3   | Wildlife use of selected species.  | 6-10 |
| 6.4   | Species recommended for proposed plant associations for revegetation of riparian corridors.  | 6-12 |
| 6.5   | Moisture content, plant associations, erosion potential of King County soils, and percent of mapped King county area covered by various soil types | 6-16 |
| 6.6   | Benefits and limitations of various types of mulches.  | 6-17 |
| 7.1   | Grasses and ground covers recommended for use on and adjacent to channel banks in western Washington.  | 7-14 |
| 7.2   | Example maximum allowable design velocities for channels vegetated with selected grasses.  | 7-14 |
| 7.3   | Recommended rock sizes for fishrocks.  | 7-26 |
| 7.4   | Summary of design considerations for various bank stabilization methods  | 7-28 |
| 8.1   | Relative volume of plant cuttings required for various vegetative system   | 8-4  |
| 8.2   | List of local growers and nurseries providing native species in and nearby King County.  | 8-6  |
| 9.1   | Evaluation criteria for streambank vegetation.   | 9-2  |
| 9.2   | Common problems of vegetation establishment, their diagnosis and remedies  | 9-3  |

# LIST OF TABLES, continued

| TABLE |   | PAGE |
|-------|---|------|
| C.1   | Riprap sizes and corresponding weight.  | C-9  |
| C.2   | King County riprap specifications by weight and least dimension.  | C-9  |
| C.3   | Washington State Department of Transportation riprap specifications related to $D_{30}$ and $D_{50}$ diameters. | C-10 |
| C.4   | Comparison of riprap gradations recommended by various agencies   | C-10 |

#### **PREFACE**

These guidelines have been developed to assist scientists and engineers with the design of bank stabilization projects for river and streambank protection in Western Washington. This document include several types of methods that use various materials such as rock, timbers, soil, plants and natural fabrics. Together, these materials create a complex matrix that join with the native bank materials to provide erosion protection.

Bank stabilization projects that integrate vegetation with other materials have proved very effective at stabilizing bank failures in King County. Vegetative methods also provide a number of important benefits such as enhancing fish and wildlife habitat, reducing local stream velocities, and lowering long-term maintenance costs.

These guidelines are the first comprehensive effort by King County in presenting information on bank erosion and stabilization techniques for large river systems. Presented within are many types of bank stabilization methods. The document, however, does not include all types of erosion protection. Measures that require the use concrete, large amounts of wire or cable, or do not match the natural setting were not included because of their effects on the natural resources and recreation values in western Washington streams.

This document was produced by several authors who have extensive experience in a variety of scientific fields. In doing so, the guidelines reflect the multidisciplinary team needed to analyze erosion problems and develop bank stabilization solutions. An interdisciplinary team of soil and plant scientists, engineers, geologists, and fisheries biologists should be involved in every phase of the project to ensure that important design elements are included. Project proponents, especially those without technical expertise in river systems, should always seek the advice of an experienced, interdisciplinary team before deciding on any course of action. These guidelines should not be used in place of the interdisciplinary team.

Because integrated soil-plant-rock systems encompass many scientific areas, no single design reference will cover all aspects of the project elements in detail. Throughout the document, additional reading sources have been referenced that should be utilized for design criteria. After thoroughly reading this document, the reader will have a basic understanding of the complexity of natural stream systems and utility of the bank stabilization techniques.

This is a practical guide for assessing erosion problems, evaluating alternative solutions, and designing and constructing a bank stabilization project. Careful pre-project planning, on-site construction supervision and post-project maintenance are all important elements of successful stabilization projects. Integrating a well-planned and constructed stabilization project with the unique characteristics of a river and stream will have long-lasting benefits.

Readers are encouraged to share their successes and failures with other practioners. In this way, the successful application of these techniques will be advanced in King County and throughout western Washington.

## CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

Six major rivers and a large network of tributary streams flow through King County. Most of these drainages originate in the upper elevations or foothills of the Cascade Mountains in the eastern part of the County and flow westward to Lake Washington, Lake Sammamish, and Puget Sound. These rivers and streams are a highly valued natural resource in the County, providing important ecological, economic, recreational and aesthetic benefits to its residents.

As the County's population has grown, an ever-increasing number of residents has chosen to live, farm, or do business along its rivers and streams. Because most river and stream channels naturally move horizontally and vertically over time, many developments along these waterways may be threatened by erosion that causes streambank failures.

Streambank failure is one of two major problems associated with living near these waterways—the other is flooding. Both these problems cause serious property damage in King County every year and tend to occur coincidentally; that is the high flows that cause flooding also tend to cause episodes of accelerated bank erosion. In 1990 alone, flooding and bank erosion caused over \$15 million to public and private property damage along King County's rivers and streams (King County 1993).

# 1.1 THE NEED FOR A NEW APPROACH

In the past, the solution chosen to protect public and private properties from serious bank erosion typically was to cover the eroding bank with a blanket of riprap (i.e. large, angular rock). Riprap was dumped from the ends of trucks onto the bank to create a revetment.

Most of the riprap revetments built in King County in the past were funded under the County's River Management Program (previously, the River Improvement Program). At present, that program is not funded to build any new capital improvement projects. The program's bank stabilization efforts are limited to maintaining projects built in previous years. However, even this basic level of service can be quite expensive. For example, the cost of repairing damages to County-maintained revetments after the 1990 floods was estimated to exceed \$4.5 million.

In recent years, numerous scientists and public works managers responsible for river management have examined the traditional approach to bank stabilization projects. These professionals have debated if and when bank stabilization should occur and how stabilization projects should be built and maintained. As a result of their efforts, new approaches are emerging.

Bank stabilization methods that use a combination of rock, soil and plant materials create a complex grid, or matrix, of different materials in the bank. As the vegetation in the project site becomes established, the bank becomes naturally stronger and resistant to erosion, reducing the need for maintenance. At the same time, the vegetation improves fish and wildlife habitat and reduces local stream velocities. These projects thus provide an environmentally sensitive, low-maintenance solution with lower long-term costs.

Bank stabilization techniques that use soil, vegetation, and rock, have been successful in various places across the United States and Europe. Most significant, however, is that a number of recent projects have proven these methods to be highly effective method of erosion control along major rivers and streams in King County. The County has constructed these types of projects on the Cedar, Green, and Raging Rivers and Issaquah Creek. Two projects on the Raging River and Issaquah Creek were installed only shortly before the record-setting November 1990 flows, leaving no time for vegetation to become established. The projects, however, survived remarkably well. Although minor damage was evident, these projects

Introduction 1-1

prevented further erosion of the immediate area during unprecedented flooding. Today, these projects provide both effective erosion control and environmental enhancement.

In recognition of these new approaches to bank stabilization, the 1993 *King County Flood Hazard Reduction Plan* recommended these techniques for numerous bank stabilization projects throughout the County. To fulfill this recommendation and satisfy an increasing demand for information about these methods, King County initiated efforts in 1990 to prepare bank stabilization guidelines.

# 1.2 SCOPE AND INTENDED AUDIENCE OF THE GUIDELINES

This document provides scientists, engineers and other technical specialists guidelines for planning, designing, building, and maintaining bank stabilization projects along major rivers and streams in King County. These guidelines are intended both for proposed bank stabilization projects along rivers and streams and for the repair of existing levees and revetments. The focus is on medium to larger stream and river systems (systems with mean annual flows of 20 cubic feet per second or more). These systems are regulated as "shorelines of the state" under the King County Shoreline Master Program. The concepts included in this document, however, could be use in conjunction with other natural resource information when considering revegetation projects on smaller sized streams.

Fish habitat considerations are integral in bank stabilization projects and are discussed in that context within this document. Detailed discussion of fish habitat modifications not associated with the specific goal of bank stabilization were considered beyond the scope of this document. Information of channel modifications for the purpose of benefitting fish habitat is available through many other resources, and so, was not included in this document.

These guidelines are not intended as a "design manual" prescribing precise standards and formulas for bank stabilization projects. Nor is it intended to set regulatory thresholds. Rather, these guidelines provide information and parameters while leaving a fair amount of discretion to the engineer and other technical specialists developing the project within existing regulatory requirements.

The application of bank stabilization methods is evolving, and the body of empirical data is too limited to provide the kind of precision found in traditional design manuals. Moreover, intuition as much as practical science is needed when applying these techniques. Professional and field experience with problem-solving along rivers and streams, and a thorough understanding of the riverine site under investigation are all essential for developing bank stabilization solutions. None of these qualifications can be provided by any set of written guidelines.

For these reasons, these guidelines are intended for a very specific and well-qualified audience. Users of this document should have a comprehensive background in river systems and specific training in one or more of the following: open channel hydraulics, sediment transport, geomorphology, riparian ecology, or aquatic and terrestrial habitats. Because these guidelines rely heavily on the designer's ability to integrate engineering expertise with the soil, plant, and biological sciences, it is strongly recommended that a multidisciplinary team approach be used when developing or reviewing possible bank stabilization projects.

These guidelines are a first step in a long-term effort to study, improve, and promote bank stabilization methods that enhance the natural resources of King County and western Washington. Refinement of procedures in this document is expected and encouraged so that others may learn from the creativity of innovative designers.

1-2 Introduction

#### 1.3 OVERVIEW OF THE GUIDELINES

The guidelines consist of nine chapters covering the following topics:

- Chapter 1 this *Introduction*;
- Chapter 2 The Riverine Environment, a description of the geology and ecology of rivers and streams in Western Washington, specifically King County;
- Chapter 3 *Modes and Causes of Bank Failures*, a discussion of different erosion and bank failure processes and how to identify which process is at work;
- Chapter 4 *Project Planning*, an overview of what questions to ask, and what data to gather, when planning a project;
- Chapter 5 Permits and Policies, a discussion of government regulations, permit requirements, and policy issues that project planners need to understand;
- Chapter 6 *Role and Use of Vegetation*, a description of how vegetation can be used in bank stabilization, and the benefits it can provide;
- Chapter 7 Design Guidelines, a discussion of various design options for different circumstances, and guidelines for how to select the best alternative;
- Chapter 8 Construction Procedures, a step-by-step description of how to install bank stabilization projects;
- Chapter 9 Long-Term Site Management, guidelines for how to monitor projects after construction and maintain them to ensure effectiveness.

These chapters are followed by a glossary of important terms, a list of references for those seeking additional information, and four appendices.

Introduction 1-3