

Boise Creek Rapid Rural Reconnaissance Report TABLE OF CONTENTS

<i>Title</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
Acknowledgement	i
Acronyms, Abbreviations, and Terms.....	iii
Table of Contents.....	v
Executive Summary.....	S-1
S.1 Scope of Analysis.....	S-1
S.2 Basin Description.....	S-1
S.3 Assessment of Subbasins.....	S-1
S.4 Recommended Actions	S-2
1. Introduction	1-1
1.1 Purpose and Project Description.....	1-1
1.2 Study Area.....	1-1
1.3 Project Goals.....	1-1
1.4 Project Approach.....	1-1
1.4.1 Characterization.....	1-1
1.4.2 Action Plan.....	1-2
1.5 Report Contents	1-2
2. Basin Characteristics	2-1
2.1 Drainage Basin and Stream Reaches	2-1
2.1.1 Subbasin Boundaries/Characteristics	2-1
2.1.2 Stream Reaches	2-2
2.2 Land Cover Analysis	2-4
2.2.1 Land Cover.....	2-4
2.2.2 Soils.....	2-5
2.2.3 Topography	2-6
2.2.4 GIS Analysis.....	2-6
2.2.5 Riparian Corridor Land Cover Analysis.....	2-12
2.2.6 Conclusions and Recommendations	2-12
3. Hydrology.....	3-1
3.1 Hydrologic Modeling Method.....	3-1
3.1.1 Software Used.....	3-1
3.1.2 Analyses Conducted.....	3-1
3.1.3 Rainfall Variation.....	3-1
3.1.4 Land Coverage and Impervious Area.....	3-2
3.1.5 Channel Characteristics.....	3-2
3.1.6 Effect of Mill Pond	3-3
3.2 Model Development.....	3-3

3.2.1	Groundwater.....	3-3
3.2.2	Rainfall	3-3
3.2.3	Average Daily Stream Flow.....	3-3
3.2.4	Stream Segment Volume	3-4
3.3	Modeling Results.....	3-5
3.3.1	Mean Daily Flow	3-5
3.3.2	Flow Duration.....	3-5
3.3.3	Low Flow Characteristics	3-6
3.3.4	Flow Frequency Analysis.....	3-6
3.4	Qualitative Analysis of Future Buildout Conditions	3-9
3.5	Conclusions and Recommendations	3-10
4.	Geomorphology	4-1
4.1	Geology and Soil Type	4-1
4.2	Geomorphology Characteristics.....	4-1
4.3	Conclusions and Recommendations	4-4
5.	Water Quality	5-1
5.1	Existing Water Quality Data.....	5-1
5.1.1	Water Quality Standards.....	5-1
5.1.2	Water Quality Sampling Data.....	5-3
5.2	Potential Pollutant Sources.....	5-6
5.3	Conclusions	5-7
5.4	Recommended for Action and Sampling.....	5-8
6.	Stream Habitat	6-1
6.1	Stream Habitat Classification Methods.....	6-2
6.1.1	General Characteristics	6-2
6.1.2	Subbasin Alteration	6-3
6.1.3	Benthic Index of Biotic Integrity	6-5
6.1.4	Subbasin Summary.....	6-7
6.2	Subbasin 1 Stream Habitat Classification Results	6-14
6.2.1	General Characteristics	6-14
6.2.2	Subbasin Alteration	6-15
6.2.3	Benthic Biodiversity.....	6-16
6.2.4	Summary.....	6-17
6.3	Subbasin 2 Stream Habitat Classification Results	6-18
6.3.1	General Characteristics	6-18
6.3.2	Subbasin Alteration	6-19
6.3.3	Benthic Biodiversity.....	6-20
6.3.4	Summary.....	6-20
6.4	Subbasin 3 Stream Habitat Classification Results	6-22
6.4.1	General Characteristics	6-22
6.4.2	Subbasin Alteration	6-23
6.4.3	Benthic Biodiversity.....	6-24
6.4.4	Summary.....	6-24
6.5	Subbasin 4 Stream Habitat Classification Results	6-25
6.5.1	General Characteristics	6-25

6.5.2	Subbasin Alteration	6-26
6.5.3	Benthic Biodiversity.....	6-28
6.5.4	Summary.....	6-29
6.6	Subbasin 5 Stream Habitat Classification Results	6-30
6.6.1	General Characteristics	6-30
6.6.2	Subbasin Alteration	6-31
6.6.3	Benthic Biodiversity.....	6-32
6.6.4	Summary.....	6-32
6.7	Subbasin 6 Stream Habitat Overview	6-34
6.8	Subbasin 7 Stream Habitat Overview	6-34
6.9	Basin Summary.....	6-34
6.10	Recommendations	6-35
7.	Drainage and Erosion Problem Analysis	7-1
7.1	Approach to Identifying Drainage Problems.....	7-1
7.2	Identifying the Existing Drainage Network.....	7-1
7.3	List of Potential Drainage and Erosion Problems.....	7-2
7-4	Conclusions and Recommendations	7-3
8.	Basin Summary and Vulnerability Analysis	8-1
8.1	Summary of Findings.....	8-1
8.1.1	Stream Habitat	8-1
8.1.2	Geomorphology.....	8-1
8.1.3	Water Quality Problems.....	8-2
8.1.4	Drainage and Erosion Problems	8-3
8.2	Watershed Vulnerability Analysis.....	8-3
9.	Recommended Actions.....	9-1
9.1	Recommended Actions	9-1
9.1.1	Project Selection.....	9-1
9.1.2	Project General Information.....	9-1
9.1.3	Project Ranking.....	9-1
9.1.4	Cost Estimating.....	9-1

Appendices

- A. CIP Project Forms
- B. Existing HSPF Modeling Results
- C. Pre-Development HSPF Modeling Results
- D. Water Quality Gaging Data
- E. Vulnerability Analysis
- F. References

LIST OF TABLES

<i>No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
S-1	Results of Watershed Vulnerability Analysis	S-2
S-2	Ranked Recommended Actions	S-3
S-3	Early Action Projects	S-4
S-4	Early Action Costs by Subbasin	S-5
1-1	Main Elements of the Basin Characterization Process	1-2
1-2	Typical Activities Included in Action Plan	1-3
2-1	Boise Creek Subbasin Areas	2-1
2-2	Boise Creek Channel Segment Characteristics	2-3
2-3	Pervious Land Cover Type Equivalency for HSPF	2-5
2-4	Effective Impervious Area (EIA)	2-5
2-5	Effective Impervious Area by Zoning Code	2-6
2-6	Soil Type Transformation for HSPF	2-8
2-7	Slope Category Equivalency for HSPF	2-8
2-8	Number of Unique Land Surface Types by Subbasin	2-9
2-9	Aggregated Land Surface Types Used in HSPF	2-9
2-10	Predeveloped Land Surface Summary	2-10
2-11	Existing (2001) Land Surface Summary	2-10
2-12	Future Buildout Land Surface Summary	2-11
2-13	Existing and Future EIA, Forest Cover, and Subbasin Classification ...	2-12
2-14	Existing (2001) Land Surface Summary for 200-Foot Riparian Corridor	2-13
2-15	Existing (2001) Land Surface Summary for the Boise Creek Subbasin ..	2-14
3-1	Mean Daily Flow Rate	3-6
3-2	RCHRES 100 Hourly Flow Rate Duration Analysis	3-7
3-3	Boise Creek Mean 7-Day Low Flow	3-8
3-4	Flow Frequency Analysis	3-9
3-5	USGS Flood Frequency Analysis	3-9
3-6	Subbasin Characteristics to Assess Future Flows	3-10
4-1	Primary Soil Units in the Boise Creek Basin	4-2
4-2	Stream Reach Descriptions	4-3
5-1	Class A Criteria for Freshwater Streams	5-2
5-2	Boise Creek Basin Sampling Sites	5-5
5-3	Potential Water Quality Degradation Sources	5-7
6-1	Subbasin Alteration Matrix	6-6
6-2	Interpretation of B-IBI Total Scores	6-6
6-3	The I-IBI Scoring Method (from King County 2002)	6-7
6-4	Field Assessment Criteria and Thresholds (from R2 Resources	

2001) 6-9

6-5 Length of Each Channel Type along Boise Creek in Subbasin 1 6-14

6-6 Pre-Developed and Post-Developed 2-Year and Ratio; RCHRES
Segment 100 in Subbasin 1 6-15

6-7 Subbasin Alteration Matrix for Subbasin 1..... 6-16

6-8 B-IBI Metric Scores for Boise Creek at 241st Avenue SE 6-17

6-9 Length of Each Channel Type along Boise Creek in Subbasin 2 6-19

6-10 Pre-Developed and Post-Developed 2-Year and Ratio; RCHRES
Segments 200, 210 and 220 in Subbasin 2..... 6-20

6-11 Subbasin Alteration Matrix for Subbasin 2..... 6-21

6-12 Length of Each Channel Type along Beaver Creek in Subbasin 3 6-22

6-13 Pre-Developed and Post-Developed 2-Year and Ratio; RCHRES
Segment 300 in Subbasin 3..... 6-23

6-14 Subbasin Alteration Matrix for Subbasin 3..... 6-24

6-15 Length of Each Channel Type along Boise Creek in Subbasin 4 6-26

6-16 Pre-Developed and Post-Developed 2-Year and Ratio; RCHRES
Segment 400 in Subbasin 4..... 6-27

6-17 Subbasin Alteration Matrix for Subbasin 4..... 6-28

6-18 B-IBI Metric Scores for Boise Creek at 284th Avenue SE 6-29

6-19 Length of Each Channel Type along Boise Creek in Subbasin 5 6-31

6-20 Pre-Developed and Post-Developed 2-Year and Ratio; RCHRES
Segment 500 in Subbasin 5..... 6-32

6-21 Subbasin Alteration Matrix for Subbasin 5..... 6-33

7-1 Drainage Complaints in Boise Creek Basin..... 7-2

8-1 Results of Watershed Vulnerability Analysis 8-4

9-1 Description of Recommended Actions *follows page 9-1*

9-2 Ranked Recommended Actions..... 9-5

LIST OF FIGURES

<i>No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
1-1	Boise Creek Drainage Basin.....	<i>follows page 1-1</i>
2-1	Boise Creek Basin Delineations with Stream Reaches	<i>follows page 2-1</i>
2-2	Graphic Representation of RCHRES Routing	<i>2-4</i>
2-3	Boise Creek Basin – 2001 Land Cover Map.....	<i>follows page 2-4</i>
2-4	Boise Creek Basin – King County Zoning Map.....	<i>follows page 2-5</i>
2-5	City of Enumclaw Official Zoning Map	<i>follows page 2-5</i>
2-6	Boise Creek Basin – Soils Map.....	<i>follows page 2-5</i>
2-7	Boise Creek Basin – Topographic Map	<i>follows page 2-6</i>
2-8	Boise Creek Basin – 200 Foot Riparian Corridor Map	<i>follows page 2-12</i>
3-1	Hourly Rainfall Comparison.....	<i>3-4</i>
3-2	Gauged vs. Simulated Mean Daily Flow	<i>3-5</i>
3-3	RCHRES 100 Flow Duration Comparison.....	<i>3-8</i>
5-1	Boise Creek Basin – Water Quality.....	<i>follows page 5-1</i>
6-1	Boise Creek Basin – Channel Types.....	<i>follows page 6-14</i>
6-2	Boise Creek Basin – Preliminary Habitat	<i>follows page 6-18</i>
9-1	Boise Creek Basin – Capital Improvement Projects.....	<i>follows page 9-1</i>
9-2	Rapid Rural Reconnaissance Recommendations Ranking Worksheet.....	<i>9-5</i>