

## Section V.c. 2014 King County Countywide Competition Application for PSRC's FHWA Funds (STP/CMAQ)

### ❖ Preservation Program

This application is available on the King County Department of Transportation website at:

<http://www.kingcounty.gov/transportation/kcdot/PlanningAndPolicy/RegionalTransportationPlanning/2014KCountywideCFP.aspx>

---

**\*\*Please read this section before completing the application\*\***

The importance of complete and accurate information on every application cannot be overemphasized. The evaluation and scoring of all submitted projects will be based on the answers provided in this application. A project's suitability for countywide funding may be compromised if the application is found to have omissions or inaccuracies.

Sponsors of projects recommended for funding as a result of the competition should be aware that information provided on this application will be used in the future to monitor compliance with PSRC's adopted project tracking policies. It is also important to remember that funds are awarded to projects, not agencies. Please refer to PSRC's website for more information on the project tracking program: [www.psrc.org/transportation/tip/tracking](http://www.psrc.org/transportation/tip/tracking).

#### **Submitting Applications**

There is no set page limit for applications submitted to the countywide competition. It is important to provide complete, detailed responses, but please be as concise as possible. Additional supporting information such as maps and other diagrams are encouraged, but other attachments such as comprehensive plan materials are unnecessary. Attach your completed application to an email and send it to [kcgrantcompetition@kingcounty.gov](mailto:kcgrantcompetition@kingcounty.gov). All applications must be submitted by **11:59 p.m. Wednesday, May 7, 2014**.

**Please note:** the project budget spreadsheet is a required attachment available at:

<http://www.kingcounty.gov/transportation/kcdot/PlanningAndPolicy/RegionalTransportationPlanning/2014KCountywideCFP.aspx>

#### **Definition of a project:**

For the purposes of this competition, a project must be clearly defined by geographic limits and/or functionality. If a project contains multiple components, the sponsor must clearly indicate how they are logically connected to one another. A project with multiple geographic locations must demonstrate their functional relationship (for example, signal coordination work in various locations tied together through a traffic control center).

Projects that include multiple components or sponsors are allowed to be submitted, but the scope of work, funding amounts and schedules for each individual agency and/or component must be clearly identified at the time of application. If awarded PSRC funds, these projects may be separated into their individual components or lead agency in the regional Transportation Improvement Program. Each individual TIP project will be subject to PSRC's project tracking policies and will be administered according to the scope of work and funding awarded for each.

**Note: a project may request only one funding source – either STP or CMAQ, but not both.**

If you have questions please contact Peter Heffernan at 206-477-3814 or [peter.heffernan@kingcounty.gov](mailto:peter.heffernan@kingcounty.gov)

**PROJECT DESCRIPTION INFORMATION**

<b>1</b>	<b>Project title: Meridian Avenue N, N 190<sup>th</sup> Street to N 205<sup>th</sup> Street Preservation</b> For roadway project titles: list facility name, limits, and any other identifying words, e.g., SR-520 HOV (104th Ave NE to 124th Ave NE).
<b>2</b>	a. <b>Sponsoring agency:</b> Shoreline b. Co-sponsor(s) if applicable: For the purposes of this application and competition, “co-sponsor” refers to any agency that would receive a portion of the funding if the requested grant were to be awarded. c. Does sponsoring agency have “Certification Acceptance” status from WSDOT? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No d. If not, which agency will serve as your CA sponsor? For more information on Certification Acceptance and to find a listing of current CA agencies, please refer to <a href="http://www.wsdot.wa.gov/LocalPrograms/LAG/CA.htm">www.wsdot.wa.gov/LocalPrograms/LAG/CA.htm</a>
<b>3</b>	<b>Project contact person:</b> Kirk McKinley Address: 17500 Midvale Avenue N Phone: 206-801-2481 Email: kmckinley@shorelinewa.gov

**4 Project description.** Please distinguish between the scope of the project and the justification and/or need for the project.

- a. **Project scope:** Please describe clearly and concisely the individual components of this project. What will be the specific outcome of this project? What will be built, purchased or provided with this grant request? For example, if this is part of a larger project, please be specific as to what portion on which the grant funds will be used.

This project is an asphalt pavement overlay preservation project on Meridian Avenue N, from N 190th Street to N 205th Street. The City will contract with King County's Road Division or a private contractor. The project is approximately 1.5 lane miles at a cost of \$992,000 of which the city will match 32% provided through our Transportation Benefit District Revenues. The overlay includes grinding, sub base repair, and 2 inches of new asphalt. Approximately 2,423 tons of hot mix asphalt will be used during the construction of this project. This project cannot be completed without grant support. Meridian Avenue N is a T-3 truck route in the City of Shoreline. The project includes overlaying adjacent parking lanes. It also includes reconstruction of up to 29 curb ramps to meet current ADA requirements. This roadway is classified as a collector arterial.

- b. **Project justification, need or purpose:** Please explain the intent, need or purpose of this project. What is the goal or desired outcome?

The purpose of this project on Meridian Avenue N is to perform a full overlay, improve the road surface rating and prevent this section of roadway from complete deterioration. This section of roadway between N 190th Street and N 205th Street is a minor arterial and truck route (T-3) on the north end of the City of Shoreline. It is a heavily used transit route with more than 85 daily buses and connects directly to the Aurora Village Transit Center. This road section carries approximately 10,000 vehicles per day and provides access to the Aurora Village Shopping Center, which includes Costco, Home Depot and other destinations. Meridian Avenue N also provides an alternative north-south roadway to Aurora Avenue N. Since the passage of the Transportation Benefit District (TBD) fee in 2009, all funds have been set aside for street preservation. Even with the TBD funds, in recent years due to the rising costs of materials, which have more than doubled in the last five years, the City's ability to sustain the level of service in the application of asphalt overlays has greatly diminished. Recognizing that maintenance and preservation of the City's streets is a high priority, staff has placed a stronger emphasis on looking at alternatives to maximize the City's investments in its roads. One of these alternatives is the bituminous road surface treatment (BST) which is an additional treatment to road surfaces beyond an overlay application. BST may extend the life of a roadway 6-8 years; however, a major overlay (hot mix asphalt) can extend it in the 18-20 year range. While the usage of BST has helped the city effectively maintain the integrity of the City's roadway system in the most cost-effective manner, it leaves large major and principal arterials lacking resources for major overlay projects. Without this grant, the overlay may be delayed for years, with resources limited to triage.

<b>5</b>	<p><b>Project location:</b> Meridian Avenue N</p> <p><b>Answer the following questions if applicable:</b></p> <p>a. Crossroad/landmark nearest to beginning of project (identify landmark if no crossroad): N 190<sup>th</sup> Street</p> <p>b. Crossroad/landmark nearest to end of project (identify landmark if no crossroad): N 205<sup>th</sup> Street</p>
<b>6</b>	<p><b>Map:</b> Please include a legible project and vicinity map, if available. Maps may be attached to the email and submitted along with the application.</p>

**Preservation Project**

Transportation 2040 commits, as a top priority, to funding the maintenance, preservation and operation of existing infrastructure in a safe and usable state. These highly cost-effective investments help to ensure that current assets continue to function properly to sustain mobility for both people and goods. Pavement represents one of the largest capital investments by local governments. Investing in arterial preservation programs at the appropriate time in an asset’s lifecycle prevents more costly rehabilitation and reconstruction projects in the future.

The PSRC’s Transportation Policy and Executive Boards recognized the importance of preservation and recommended that 25% of the total estimated amount of STP funds be set aside for preservation grant program. The Boards directed that these funds be distributed through the countywide processes. The purpose of this preservation set-aside is to address emergent issues of declining revenue for local jurisdictions and increased project costs. The recommendation includes regional guiding principles to be followed by each countywide process, to address among other things maintenance of effort and fairness. The impact of this new preservation set aside will be reviewed by the PSRC for its effectiveness and its impact on the overall pavement conditions of the region’s arterials.

A total of \$16.79 million is available in King County for the Preservation program. Agencies are limited to three proposals per agency and a maximum request size of \$1.5 million per proposal.

**Projects will be evaluated and scored based on the information provided by the project sponsor to the following questions**

## Roadway Characteristics

**1. Pavement Condition Index (PCI):** Select the PCI range for the specific roadway segment for which you are requesting funds. . Please use the most recent information available to you.

- PCI 70 to 100
- PCI 60 to 69
- PCI 50 to 59.
- PCI 40 to 49
- PCI 0 to 39

## 2. Facility Characteristics

**Federal functional classification code** (Please select only one code using the table below) For assistance determining functional classification, contact Stephanie Rossi at (206) 971-3054 or [srossi@psrc.org](mailto:srossi@psrc.org).

**Important:** A roadway must be approved on the federally classified roadway system before projects on it may use federal transportation funds (this includes proposed new facilities). Projects on a roadway with a functional classification of 09, 19, 29, or 39 are not eligible to use federal transportation funds unless they are one of the exceptions listed below. If your project is an exception, identify its functional class code as "00".

Examples of exceptions:

- Any bicycle and/or pedestrian project.
- Projects not on a roadway and using CMAQ or other funds
- Any transit project, including equipment purchase and park-and-ride lot projects.

For more information on functional classification, please refer to [www.wsdot.wa.gov/mapsdata/travel/hpms/functionalclass.htm](http://www.wsdot.wa.gov/mapsdata/travel/hpms/functionalclass.htm)

<b>Rural Functional Classifications</b>	<b>Urban Functional Classifications</b>
<b>“Under 5,000 population”</b>  (Outside federal-aid urbanized and federal-aid urban areas)  <input type="checkbox"/> <b>00 Exception</b>  <input type="checkbox"/> <b>01 Principal Arterial - Interstate</b>  <input type="checkbox"/> <b>02 Principal Arterial</b>  <input type="checkbox"/> <b>06 Minor Arterial</b>  <input type="checkbox"/> <b>07 Major Collector</b>  <input type="checkbox"/> <b>08 Minor Collector</b>  <input type="checkbox"/> <b>09 Local Access</b>	<b>“Over 5,000 population”</b>  (Inside federal-aid urbanized and federal-aid urban areas)  <input type="checkbox"/> <b>00 Exception</b>  <input type="checkbox"/> <b>11 Principal Arterial – Interstate</b>  <input type="checkbox"/> <b>12 Principal Arterial – Expressway</b>  <input type="checkbox"/> <b>14 Principal Arterial</b>  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>16 Minor Arterial</b>  <input type="checkbox"/> <b>17 Collector</b>  <input type="checkbox"/> <b>19 Local Access</b>

**Freight Route Classification:** The Washington State Freight and Goods Transportation System (FGTS) is a classification of state highways, county roads, and city streets according to the average annual gross truck tonnage they carry. The FGTS provides an estimate of the highways and roadways most heavily used by trucks. WSDOT’s most recent update of the FGTS occurred in 2013.

Select the FGTS classifications for the roadway segment for which you are requesting funds. If the roadway segment has more than one FGTS classification, please use the FGTS Classification that has most lane miles. The following link will take you to the current FGTS maps <http://www.wsdot.wa.gov/Freight/FGTS/Maps.htm>.

- T-1: More than 10 million tons per year
- T-2: 4 to 10 million tons per year
- T-3: 300,000 to 4 million tons per year
- T-4: 100,000 to 300,000 tons per year
- T-5: at least 20,000 ton in the last 60 days
- Not on a “Freight Route” classification

**Transit/School Bus Service Characteristics:** Number of daily weekday transit or school bus trips on the roadway segment for which funds are being requested (one direction).

Select the category based on the number of daily weekday transit trips on the specific roadway segment where funding is being requested.

- $\geq$  85 daily trips per weekday
- 20-84 trips per weekday
- 8-19 trips per weekday
- Less than 8 trips per weekday

If you need assistance on determining the transit trips on the specific roadway segment where funding is being requested, please contact Paul Takamine at [paul.takamine@kingcounty.gov](mailto:paul.takamine@kingcounty.gov) or at (206) 477-3822.

**Bicycle Facilities:**

- There is an existing bicycle lane on the project segment, or the project will include a new bicycle lane upon completion of the preservation project, or the arterial is designated as a bike facility in an adopted plan
- None

**3. Centers:** Since 2002, the adopted policy guidance has been to direct PSRC funds to support centers and the corridors that serve them. VISION 2040, adopted in 2008, reaffirms this policy guidance of supporting centers with PSRC's federal funds. The PSRC Executive Board elected to maintain the policy focus of support for centers and the corridors that serve them, centers are defined as regional or local centers and manufacturing/industrial centers as identified in VISION 2040, or in local comprehensive plans.(See Section VII. for the regional growth and manufacturing/industrial centers map)

Select one of the following categories that best fits your project.

- Within or connecting to a designated Regional Growth Center/  
Manufacturing/Industrial Center
- Within or connecting to a designated Local Center as identified in your adopted local comprehensive plan

**4. Level of Effort:** Each agency that chooses to submit a proposal into the Preservation Program must provide information on its “Level of Effort” to maintain and improve its system wide Pavement Condition Index (PCI) scores<sup>1</sup>. The information to be provided by a project sponsor will be based on the averaging of project sponsor’s reported PCI for 2006, 2008, and 2010 based on the table on the following page.

- Please check if your city has updated its system wide arterial PCI information since 2010. If you would like to use this updated information to revise your jurisdictions PCI average (see Average PCI Table) send the updated system wide arterial average PCI information to Paul Takamine at [paul.takamine@kingcounty.gov](mailto:paul.takamine@kingcounty.gov) .

The **Average PCI Table** below shows the average PCI scores for the jurisdictions in King County, select the Systemwide Pavement Condition Index category for your jurisdiction

- Systemwide PCI  $\geq 70$   
 Systemwide PCI of 65 - 69  
 Systemwide PCI of 60 - 64  
 Systemwide PCI of 63 – 51  
 Systemwide PCI of 0 – 50

**5. Local Match Percentage:** Select the local match percentage category that matches the local contribution for specific project for which funds are being requested. The minimum local match required is 13.5%,

- Local match – 13.5% to 22%  
 Local match - 23% to 31%  
 Local match - 32% to 40%  
 Local match - 41% to 49%  
  $\geq 50\%$

**6. Incentive/Innovation -** Project sponsors are encouraged to provide information in this application if their projects include any incentives or innovative elements. The Incentive/Innovation section will not receive any points but information may be used during the evaluation process. Examples of incentives and innovation include:

- Beyond standard practice
- Economies of scale
- Cutting edge technology/state of the art
- Asset Management Plan
- Fund swap (federal for local dollars)

---

<sup>1</sup> The average city PCI information is derived from three *Washington’s City Arterials Condition Reports* published in 2006, 2008, and 2010. The Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) Highways and Local Programs Division publishes this report on a biennial basis. RCW 46.68.113 requires cities and towns to report to the state, city arterial preservation rating information on a biennial basis.

## Average PCI Table

<b>King County PCI Scores By Jurisdiction</b>		
<b>Jurisdiction</b>	<b>2006, 2008, 2010 Average PCI</b>	<b>2010 PCI</b>
Hunts Point	91	82
Duvall	81	69
Beaux Arts Village	81	82
Kent	80	0
Federal Way	80	77
Sammamish	79	0
Redmond	79	76
Kenmore	78	78
SeaTac	78	82
Medina	77	72
Bellevue	77	74
Mercer Island	76	69
Des Moines	74	70
King County	74	65
Maple Valley	73	78
Bothell	72	71
Clyde Hill	71	67
Auburn	69	71
Shoreline	69	61
Issaquah	69	70
Burien	69	0
Seattle	68	69
Normandy Park	64	63
Tukwila	64	67
Algona	63	63
Kirkland	62	62
Renton	62	66
Lake Forest Park	61	54
Yarrow Point	61	62
Black Diamond	61	56
Covington	60	59
Newcastle	59	59
Woodinville	57	58
Enumclaw	55	46
Skykomish	37	58
North Bend	49	48
Snoqualmie	47	43
Milton	45	44
Pacific	44	28
Carnation	#DIV/0!	0

- Missing data for 1 or more years
- Weighted PCI 70 - 100
- Weighted PCI 50 - 69
- Weighted PCI 0 - 49

Average or 2010 PCI = 70 to 100

Average or 2010 PCI = 50 to 69

Average or 2010 PCI = 49 or Less

1. Cities' Federal Functionally Classified Mileage from Transportation Data Office, Functional Class Report
2. County Data from CRAB report submissions for 2007, 2008, and 2010

## Project Readiness/Financial Plan

There are two parts to this section, with specific questions for each part identified below: the project's financial plan and readiness to obligate PSRC funds. The primary objective of the evaluation is to determine whether a sponsor has assembled all of the funding needed to complete the project or phase(s), and when the sponsor will be ready to obligate the requested regional funding. All questions must be completely and accurately filled out in order for this information to be properly assessed. The information will be used to determine:

- When the sponsor can complete all prerequisites needed to obligate the requested PSRC funding.
- When the sponsor plans to obligate requested PSRC funding.
- The amount and source of secured funding for the project.
- The amount and source of reasonably expected but unsecured funding for the project.
- Whether PSRC's federal funds will complete the project or a phase of the project.

For assistance completing this section, contact Jeff Storrar at (206) 587-4817 or [jstorrar@psrc.org](mailto:jstorrar@psrc.org).

### 7. Financial Plan

In this section, sponsors will address questions regarding the funding request, the total estimated project cost and schedule, and the project's readiness to obligate PSRC funds. Sponsors should be aware of the following information before completing this section:

**Funding Request:** Sponsors may request funding for any single project phase, but requests for multiple phases are limited to preliminary engineering plus the subsequent phase necessary. I.e., a sponsor may request funding for both preliminary engineering and right of way phases or preliminary engineering and construction phases, but not both right of way and construction phases.

**Funding Requirements:** A minimum of 13.5% of local matching funds is required for both Surface Transportation Program (STP) and Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program (CMAQ) funding. The combination of the requested PSRC funds plus all other funding must be adequate to fully fund that phase. Requests that do not result in a phase being fully funded will be considered ineligible for PSRC funding.

**Obligation Requirements:** Per PSRC's project tracking policies, all project phases awarded PSRC funds must obligate by June 1st of the program year selected. For more information, see PSRC's project tracking policies [here](http://www.psrc.org/transportation/tip/tracking). For more information on PSRC's project tracking program, please go to [www.psrc.org/transportation/tip/tracking](http://www.psrc.org/transportation/tip/tracking).

**Required Match:** A minimum of 13.5% match is required for both STP and CMAQ funds. Sponsors of projects awarded funds through this competition will be required to provide information on these matching funds at a later date.

#### 7a. Select only one funding source below, STP or CMAQ.

STP

CMAQ

**7b. Identify the amount requested by phase, and identify the estimated year of obligation (2015, 2016 or 2017).**

<u>Phase</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Estimated Year of Obligation</u>
PE/Design	\$17,306.00	2015
Construction	\$657,254.00	2016
[select phase]		

**7c. Identify the project phases that will be fully completed if requested funding is obtained:**

PE and Construction.

**7d. Project Budget and Schedule**

In this section you will be asked to provide information on the financial budget and schedule for the entire project. Please indicate amounts and sources of both secured and unsecured funds, by phase. Include all phases in the project, from start to finish, and indicate when each phase will be completed. The requested PSRC funds identified above must also be reflected in the Project Budget and Schedule spreadsheet. Use as many rows per phase as necessary to reflect the financial plan for each phase. The required table to provide this information is a separate Excel spreadsheet which you will need to download from the following King County website:

<http://www.kingcounty.gov/transportation/kcdot/PlanningAndPolicy/RegionalTransportationPlanning/2014KCountywideCFP.aspx>

**Attach the completed spreadsheet, along with this application, and submit via email to [kcgrantcompetition@kingcounty.gov](mailto:kcgrantcompetition@kingcounty.gov) by the deadline of 11:59 p.m. May 7, 2014. The Project Budget and Schedule spreadsheet form may be downloaded at:**

<http://www.kingcounty.gov/transportation/kcdot/PlanningAndPolicy/RegionalTransportationPlanning/2014KCountywideCFP.aspx>

**Other Considerations**

**8. Please describe any additional aspects of your project** not previously addressed in the application that could be relevant to the final project recommendation and decision-making process. In addition, please describe any innovative components included in your project: these could include design elements, cost saving measures, or other innovations. Per PSRC Board direction, we are conducting research into innovative programs and concepts in the region and throughout the country, and will report back to the Board for potential ideas for an Innovations Program in our region in the future.

**REMINDER: When you submit this application, please remember to also attach the Project Budget and Schedule spreadsheet and any maps or other project schematics, if applicable.**

Meridian Ave N Overlay: 190th-205th

Construction Days: 40

Date Prepared March 25, 2014

Engineer's Estimate -- Grant Application

Item No.	Section	Description	Bid Quantity	Unit	Unit Rate	Total
1		HMA	19578	SY	\$ 25.20	\$ 493,365.60
2		Curb Ramps (lower complexity)	5	EA	\$ 5,300.00	\$ 26,500.00
3		Curb Ramps (higher complexity)	24	EA	\$ 7,950.00	\$ 190,800.00
SubTotal Cost						\$ 710,665.60
Design Contingency						20% \$ 142,133.12
Construction Contingency						10% \$ 85,279.87
Total Construction Cost with Contingency						\$ 938,078.59
Design				In-House	2%	\$ 11,475.90
City Direct PreDesign						0 \$ -
City Direct ROW						\$ -
City Direct Design (doesnt include inhouse design if any)						2% \$ 13,974.54
City Direct Construction						4% \$ 28,369.45
<b>Total Project Cost</b>						<b>\$ 991,898.48</b>

Assumptions:

Working Days

- 2 Days Mobilize/Setup
- 5 Days Intersection work (curb ramps) 203rd
- 5 Days Intersection work (curb ramps) 200th
- 5 Days Intersection work (curb ramps) 199th/198th
- 5 Days Intersection work (curb ramps) 195th/194th
- 5 Days Intersection work (curb ramps) 193rd/192nd
- 5 Days Intersection work (curb ramps) 190th
- 4 Days Grind
- 3 Days overlay
- 1 Days stripe

40 Total

Unit rates include all incidental costs such as mobilization, ESC, traffic control, etc.

Curb Ramps					
Location		Proposed Scope	Current	Proposed	
190th	west	Replace in kind		2	2
190th	east	Replace in kind		2	2
192nd (w)	east	Replace in kind		1	1

192nd (w)	west	Replace in kind	2	2
192nd (e)	east	Replace in kind	2	2
192nd (e)	west	Sign "no crossing"	0	0
193rd	east	Replace in kind	2	2
193rd	west	Sign "no crossing"	0	0
194th	east	Replace in kind	2	2
194th	west	Sign "no crossing"	0	0
195th	east	Replace in kind	2	2
195th	west	Replace in kind	2	2
198th	west	Replace in kind	2	2
198th	east	Replace in kind (bus stop)	1	1
199th	east	Sign "no crossing"	0	0
199th	west	Replace in kind	2	2
200th	east	Replace E-W crossings only	2	2
200th	west	Replace in kind	2	2
203rd	west	Replace in kind	2	2
203rd	east	Add Ramp to bus stop	0	1
Total				29

Stopping project south of 205th intersection. 205th is WSDOT ROW and extend to the south of the crossing.

Notes

## Section V.e. Total Estimated Project Cost and Schedule

Please fill in as many rows as needed in the tables below, to fully and accurately reflect your

Project Sponsor	Shoreline
Project Title	Meridian Avenue N, N 190th Street to N 205th Street Preservation

Phase	Funding Source(s) (i.e. PSRC, state, local, etc.)	Secured / Reasonably Expected / or Unsecured*	Amount
Planning Planning Planning			

Planning TOTAL: \$ -

Estimated Planning Completion Date (month and year): \_\_\_\_\_

Phase	Funding Source(s) (i.e. PSRC, state, local, etc.)	Secured / Reasonably Expected / or Unsecured*	Amount
PE/Design	PSRC - STP		\$ 17,306
PE/Design	Shoreline - Transportation Benefit	Secured	\$ 8,144
PE/Design			

Preliminary Engineering / Design TOTAL: \$ 25,450

Estimated PE/Design Completion Date (month and year): 4/30/2013

Phase	Funding Source(s) (i.e. PSRC, state, local, etc.)	Secured / Reasonably Expected / or Unsecured*	Amount
Right of Way Right of Way Right of Way			

Right of Way TOTAL: \$ -

Estimated ROW Completion Date (month and year): \_\_\_\_\_

Phase	Funding Source(s) (i.e. PSRC, state, local, etc.)	Secured / Reasonably Expected / or Unsecured*	Amount
Construction	PSRC - STP		\$ 657,254
Construction	Shoreline - Transportation Benefit	Secured	\$ 309,296
Construction			

Construction TOTAL \$ 966,550

Estimated Construction Completion Date (month and year): 12/31/2016

Phase	Funding Source(s) (i.e. PSRC, state, local, etc.)	Secured / Reasonably Expected / or Unsecured*	Amount
Other Other			


Other TOTAL: \$ -

Estimated Other Completion Date (month and year): \_\_\_\_\_

**TOTAL Estimated Project Cost, All Phases: \$ 992,000**



**Estimated Project Completion Date (month and year): 12/31/2016**

\* Additional information on these categories may be found at  
<http://www.psrc.org/assets/11214/FinancialConstraintGuidance.pdf>.





## Meridian Ave N Preservation

### Map Feature

-  Meridian Ave N Preservation
-  Other City Park
-  City of Shoreline Park

### Landmark

-  Cemetery
-  Commercial
-  Facility
-  Golf Course
-  Government
-  Library
-  Open Space
-  Other
-  Recreation
-  Religious
-  School
-  Transportation



User: jclark

Date: 5/6/2014



City of Shoreline

Secured Documentation

Meridian Avenue N, N 190<sup>th</sup> Street to N 205<sup>th</sup> Street

The City of Shoreline City Council established the Shoreline Transportation Benefit District (TBD) in June 2009 (Shoreline City Ordinance 550). In July 2009, the Shoreline Transportation Benefit District approved an ordinance (TBD Ordinance 1), creating an annual \$20 per vehicle registration fee to be used to help pay for pavement management of Shoreline streets. The Annual Road Surface Maintenance Program in Shoreline's CIP (attached, page 373 of the Shoreline's 2014 Budget and 2014-2019 CIP) shows the matching funds available in 2015 and 2016 (estimated at \$701,140 each year).

## ANNUAL ROAD SURFACE MAINTENANCE PROGRAM



### **Project Description:**

The City's long-term road surface maintenance program is designed to maintain the City's road system to the highest condition rating with the funds available using various thicknesses of asphalt overlay and bituminous surface treatments (BST). Currently, the asphalt overlays are done in conjunction with King County's overlay projects. King County provides engineering and inspection for the project. The City's Public Works Operations Division will provide project administration, construction oversight, quality review, and final acceptance. Additionally, other accepted BST treatments are provided through private contracting.

### **Service Impact:**

This project will extend the useful life of City streets by 10-12 years, increase skid resistance of the street surface, and improve ride quality.

Org Key: 2918151	JL # ST107400	Prior Years' Expenditures	2013 Budget	2013 Projected	2014 Estimate	2015 Estimate	2016 Estimate	2017 Estimate	2018 Estimate	2019 Estimate	Total 2014-2019	Total Project Cost
<b>Project Expenditures:</b>												
Project Administration		\$1,493,654	\$70,000	\$70,000	\$80,000	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$580,000	\$2,143,654
Real Estate Acquisition												
Construction		\$8,489,952	\$1,284,283	\$946,116	\$1,420,000	\$900,000	\$900,000	\$900,000	\$900,000	\$900,000	\$5,920,000	\$15,356,068
<b>Total Project Expenditures</b>		<b>\$9,983,606</b>	<b>\$1,354,283</b>	<b>\$1,016,116</b>	<b>\$1,500,000</b>	<b>\$1,000,000</b>	<b>\$1,000,000</b>	<b>\$1,000,000</b>	<b>\$1,000,000</b>	<b>\$1,000,000</b>	<b>\$6,500,000</b>	<b>\$17,499,722</b>
<b>Revenue Sources:</b>												
City General Fund		\$2,239,888			\$500,000							\$2,739,888
STP Grant			\$436,283	\$190,229	\$0							\$190,229
Transportation Benefit District			\$913,000	\$825,887	\$786,113	\$701,140	\$701,140	\$701,140	\$701,140	\$701,140	\$4,291,813	\$5,117,700
Roads Capital Fund		\$7,743,718	\$5,000	\$213,887	\$298,860	\$298,860	\$298,860	\$298,860	\$298,860	\$298,860	\$1,708,187	\$9,451,905
<b>Total Project Revenues</b>		<b>\$9,983,606</b>	<b>\$1,354,283</b>	<b>\$1,016,116</b>	<b>\$1,500,000</b>	<b>\$1,000,000</b>	<b>\$1,000,000</b>	<b>\$1,000,000</b>	<b>\$1,000,000</b>	<b>\$1,000,000</b>	<b>\$6,000,000</b>	<b>\$17,499,722</b>
<b>Impact on Operating Budget</b>												
<b>Project Time Line:</b>												
Project Administration												
Real Estate Acquisition												
Construction												

- Changes from the 2013-2018 CIP: Increased the 2014 budget by \$500,000 per Council amendment and includes the addition of funding for projects in 2019.