

30 Day Reconciliation Report

County Name: King

Election Date:

11/3/2009

Election Type: General

Active Registered Voters		1,079,842	Total Ballots Returned	587,198
Inactive Registered Voters		92,875	Total Ballot Counted	574,298
Total Registered Voters		1,172,717	Ballots Rejected includes: Challenged ballots, empty envelopes, and Canvass Board Rejects	12,900
Voters by Category			Credited	Totals
M A I L	UOCAVA Voters		2240	
	All other mail ballot voters (include ACP)		571107	
	Mail / Absentee voters counted but not credited in EMS		0	
	Total Mail / Absentee Voters Credited		573,347	
P O L L S	Poll/AVU voters ballots cast		977	
	Provisional voters ballots cast		57	
	Poll voters credited but ballots not counted		0	
	Total Poll Voters		1,034	
Total Voters Credited			574,381	
Voters Credited with voting but ballot not counted due to Empty Envelopes (55) and ballots rejected by the Canvass Board (28)				83
Ballots/Voters Needing To Be Reconciled (Ballots Counted to Voters Credited)				
If the number remaining to be reconciled does not equal zero, attach documentation to explain discrepancy for the number of ballots counted with the number of voters credited.				

Post-Certification Report

At the final Canvassing Board meeting, two issues were presented to the Board that did not impact inventory reconciliation or certification of the election, further research was required by King County Election staff to determine the reason for the issue. Descriptions and findings are below.

1. Potential double voter - A mail ballot was returned and accepted for voter A. Voter A then went to an Accessible Voting Center and then voted an AVU ballot. Further research shows that voter A's mail ballot was actually signed by a spouse, voter B. Although there was a human error in issuing an AVU card to this voter and in crediting the wrong household member, we were able to correct the mistake in our system and ensure both voters were correctly credited in our voter registration system.
2. Four ballots more cast in a precinct than voters registered - On the day before certification, when the Special Ballots were processed, this issue was discovered. Research indicates that the Special Ballots issued to the voters were sample ballots (per RCW 29A.40.050) containing the correct races/measures for those voters. On return, those Special Ballots should have been flagged as needing duplicated to the correct precinct.

Both issues were discovered using our technology systems, and were caused by human error. As with all errors, we will use this opportunity to take a fresh look at current procedures and trainings.