

PUBLIC REVIEW DRAFT

King County Water and Land Resources Division Wood Investigation Report

Form to be completed by representatives from both KCWLRD and KCSO for each investigation

Complaint Report

Reported By: _____ Phone No.: _____
Address: _____ E-mail: _____
Landowner Name: _____ Phone No.: _____
Location, Address, or Parcel #: _____ E-mail: _____
Stream/River: _____ River Mile: _____ Bank looking downstream: _____
Complaint Received By: _____ Section: _____ Date: _____
King County Sheriff's Office Contact: _____ Phone No.: _____
Complainant's Description of Problem:

Imminent Threat Assessment

As a first step, determine the urgency of action based on immediate threat to property, infrastructure, and public safety. If you answer yes to any of the four questions below, report the issue immediately to the King County River and Floodplain Management Section (RFMS) Manager or designee and request technical staff assistance to conduct field checks to answer all questions on this form. Upon completion, e-mail a copy of this form to the RFMS Manager, KCSO, and the complainant, and save an electronic copy in the Large Wood Investigation files.

Imminent Threat to Property and Infrastructure

Has the wood damaged a flood district facility or public or private structure? Yes No
If yes, describe the structure:

Could the wood potentially create or increase the extent of damage to a Flood District facility or public or private structure that may cause loss of function to the facility or structure? Yes No
If yes, describe the potential threat:

Imminent Threat to Public Safety

Has a river recreation accident involving the wood been reported? Yes No

Is the wood completely spanning the active river channel in a location and season known for high recreational use? Yes No

Follow-up Inspection Need By:

Engineer Geomorphologist Ecologist King County Agency _____ Stakeholder Group

Follow-up Assigned to: _____ by: _____ date: _____

Assignment Accepted by: _____ date: _____

PUBLIC REVIEW DRAFT

Recreation Use and Wood

Amount of in-river recreation	<input type="checkbox"/> High <input type="checkbox"/> Moderate <input type="checkbox"/> Low <input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
Types of recreation use	<input type="checkbox"/> Floating <input type="checkbox"/> Boating <input type="checkbox"/> Wading/Fishing <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
Recreation seasons	<input type="checkbox"/> Spring <input type="checkbox"/> Summer <input type="checkbox"/> Fall <input type="checkbox"/> Winter <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
User experience levels	<input type="checkbox"/> Expert <input type="checkbox"/> Intermediate <input type="checkbox"/> Beginner <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
How many access points are upstream?	<input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2-5 <input type="checkbox"/> More than 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
Source of above information: <input type="checkbox"/> KCSO Estimate <input type="checkbox"/> MacIlroy Report <input type="checkbox"/> Cedar Study <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____	
Is there enough sight distance to the wood location for a recreationist to end their float before approaching the wood: at low flows? <input type="checkbox"/> Definitely <input type="checkbox"/> Probably <input type="checkbox"/> Possibly <input type="checkbox"/> Not Likely at high flows? <input type="checkbox"/> Definitely <input type="checkbox"/> Probably <input type="checkbox"/> Possibly <input type="checkbox"/> Not Likely	
Is there a recreational flow path around the wood: at low flows? <input type="checkbox"/> Definitely <input type="checkbox"/> Probably <input type="checkbox"/> Possibly <input type="checkbox"/> Not Likely at high flows? <input type="checkbox"/> Definitely <input type="checkbox"/> Probably <input type="checkbox"/> Possibly <input type="checkbox"/> Not Likely	
Is there an easily accessed portage route or take out upstream of the wood? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Don't Know	
Background wood loading in the reach, based on study results or visual assessment, is: <input type="checkbox"/> High <input type="checkbox"/> Medium <input type="checkbox"/> Low <i>High – Wood is present in the active channel and is visible within sight distance of the current location</i> <i>Medium – Wood is present within the active channel around the bend upstream or downstream</i> <i>Low – No other wood is found within the active channel within the upstream or downstream bend</i>	

Reach Management

Is the wood in or nearby a King County capital project planning site or reach? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Don't Know If yes, Division/Section: _____ Project Manager: _____
Is there a Public Safety Management Plan for the project or reach? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Don't Know
Have wood hazards previously been signed in this reach? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Don't Know
Has the King County Sheriff's Office previously or now requested signage for this reach? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Have the King County Prosecuting Attorney's Office and King County Risk Management previously approved language for wood hazard signage in this reach? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Don't Know

Ecological Assessment

What type, extent, and quality of habitat exist in this reach?
How does the wood contribute to habitat values in this reach?

PUBLIC REVIEW DRAFT

Conclusion

Based on the facts above, draw a conclusion about the risk to public safety posed by the wood and whether or not mitigating actions can be taken to reduce the risk. Describe alternative actions considered and refer to the reach Public Safety Management Plan if applicable.

Recommended Action(s):

None Monitor Signage Remedial Pruning Reach/River Closure Relocate Wood
(If pruning or relocation is recommended a King County ecologist should, to the extent practicable, evaluate the ecological values and functions of the wood prior to manipulation in order to assess mitigation needs.)

Mitigation Recommendation Summary

If wood manipulation is recommended and implemented, briefly describe the values and functions of wood lost by the management action. Recommend action(s) for anticipated permit-required mitigation.

Mitigation Recommendation Developed by:

Name/Title/Section: _____

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Wood Evaluator Information

King County Water and Land Resource Division Representative

Name/Title/Section: _____

Signature: _____ Date: _____

King County Sheriff's Office Representative

Name/Title/Section: _____

Signature: _____ Date: _____

PUBLIC REVIEW DRAFT

Recommendation Chain of Custody

Recommendation Approved/Declined by (circle one):

Name/Title/Section: _____

Signature: _____ Date: _____

If recommendation is declined, please indicate reason below:

Recommendation Implemented by:

Name/Title/Section: _____

Signature: _____ Date: _____