

**King County Flood Control District
Capital Project Evaluation: Implementation Factors**

Pts	Readiness
10	Project is ready for construction or acquisition. For construction projects, landowner negotiations are in progress for any acquisitions that may be necessary, and/or design is complete and permits are in hand. For floodplain buyouts, appraisals are complete and landowner negotiations in progress.
8	For construction projects, landowner negotiations are in progress for any acquisitions that may be necessary, and permit agencies support design concept. For floodplain buyouts, landowner is interested and appraisals are in progress.
5	Landowner interested; no appraisal. For construction projects, design constraints exist but can likely be addressed through coordination with other agencies.
3	Landowner interest uncertain. For construction projects, design constraints exist and uncertain if and how they can be addressed.
0	Landowner not interested and/or significant design constraints (ie roads or other infrastructure) necessitate rescoping of the project
Pts	Project leverages District funds with external resources or funding
8	Leverages 3:1 or greater
6	Leverages more than 2:1 but less than 3:1
4	Leverages more than 1:1 but less than 2:1
2	Leverages resources, but less than 1:1
1	Grant or other funding proposal in development to leverage District funds
0	No leveraging and no known effort to develop a grant or other funding package.
Pts	Project supports multiple floodplain objectives
2 pts each up to 8 pts	Identified in local flood hazard management plan
	Identified in federal ESA Recovery Plan for Puget Sound Chinook
	Identified on SRFB 3-year CIP list
	Identified in Basin Plan
	Identified in adopted stormwater or habitat CIP list
	Identified in open space and recreation plan
	Identified in non-point source action plan
	Identified in other adopted plan
	Protects productive agricultural soils within an Agricultural Production District
Pts	Long Term Maintenance Needs
5	Minimal – project provides a permanent solution, such as a buyout that removes flood hazard risks
3	Medium – project reduces rather than removes flood risks; facility is designed to minimize O&M (for example, design uses biostabilization approaches such as those described in King County’s Guidelines for Bank Stabilization rather than rock)
1	High – Project design will result in annual maintenance and land management
Pts	Proponent has floodplain management regulations in place
3	Exceeds NFIP requirements

**King County Flood Control District
Capital Project Evaluation: Implementation Factors**

1	Meets NFIP minimum requirements
Pts	Proponent participates in FEMA's Community Rating System
3	FEMA Community Rating System rating less than 6
1	FEMA Community Rating System rating greater than or equal to 6
0	Proponent is not a participant in Community Rating System
Pts	Active CIP
1	Proponent maintains and funds an active CIP program for flooding and/or stormwater drainage
Pts	Active O&M
1	Proponent maintains and funds an active O&M program for flooding and/or stormwater drainage