

---

# Table of Contents

<b>Foreword</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>Fact Sheet</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>Acronyms and Abbreviations</b>	<b>xxv</b>
<b>Table of Contents</b>	<b>xxvii</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>xxxii</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>xxxii</b>
<b>Chapter 1 Introduction</b>	<b>1-1</b>
1.1 Why Is King County Issuing a Supplement to the Brightwater Environmental Impact Statement?	1-1
1.1.1 Final Environmental Impact Statement	1-1
1.1.2 Selection of Route 9–195th Street Alternative	1-2
1.1.3 Hearing Examiner’s Decision on the Appeal of the EIS	1-2
1.2 What New Information Is Provided in this Brightwater Supplemental EIS?	1-3
1.3 What Information on the Brightwater Project Was Provided in Addenda to the EIS?	1-5
1.4 How Has the Project Description Been Modified Since the EIS Was Issued?	1-5
1.4.1 Selection of Portal Sites	1-6
1.4.2 Influent Pump Station at Portal 41	1-6
1.4.3 Postponement of Portal 11 and Tunnel Between Portals 11 and 44	1-6
1.4.4 Possible Construction Access Road to Route 9 Site	1-6
1.4.5 Possible Use of the StockPot Building	1-7
1.4.6 No Safety Relief Point	1-7
1.4.7 Layout of Treatment Plant Facilities	1-7
1.4.8 Treatment of Split-Stream Flow	1-8
1.5 How Do Brightwater Siting and Design Reflect Evolving Seismic Information?	1-9
1.5.1 Purpose of Engineering and Environmental Constraints Analysis	1-9
1.5.2 Use of New Seismic Information in Siting and Design of Brightwater Facilities	1-10
1.6 What Uncertainties Remain About Buildings on the Route 9 Site?	1-11
1.6.1 Whether to Use the StockPot Building	1-11
1.6.2 Whether to Build a Community-Oriented Building	1-12
1.7 What Is the Likelihood That an Earthquake Would Seriously Damage the Brightwater Treatment Plant and Adversely Affect the Environment?	1-12
1.7.1 Approach Used to Address the Simultaneous Occurrence of Improbable Events	1-13
1.7.2 Likelihood of Ground Rupture	1-13
1.7.3 Likelihood of Damage to Structures	1-14
1.7.4 Likelihood of Environmental Impacts	1-14
1.8 What Environmental Impacts Are Analyzed in this Supplemental EIS?	1-14
1.9 References	1-17
<b>Chapter 2 Seismic Background and Context</b>	<b>2-1</b>
2.1 Are Earthquake Faults Present on the Route 9 Site?	2-1
2.2 How Do Researchers Locate Earthquake Faults?	2-2

**Table of Contents**

---

2.2.1 Aeromagnetic and Ground Magnetic Surveys ..... 2-2

2.2.2 LiDAR Surveys ..... 2-3

2.2.3 Seismic Refraction Surveys ..... 2-3

2.2.4 Soil Samples ..... 2-3

2.2.5 Geologic Reconnaissance ..... 2-4

2.2.6 Trench Excavations..... 2-4

2.3 How Are Earthquakes Measured?..... 2-4

2.4 What Are the Geologic Characteristics of the Puget Sound Region? ..... 2-4

2.5 What Are the Seismic Characteristics of the Puget Sound Region?..... 2-5

2.5.1 Cascadia Subduction Zone ..... 2-5

2.5.2 Intraslab or Benioff Zone..... 2-6

2.5.3 Crustal Zone..... 2-6

2.6 What Is the Southern Whidbey Island Fault? ..... 2-6

2.6.1 Location of the Southern Whidbey Island Fault ..... 2-7

2.6.2 Earthquakes on the Southern Whidbey Island Fault ..... 2-7

2.7 What Studies Have Been Done to Determine the Location of the Southern Whidbey Island Fault On and Near the Route 9 Site? ..... 2-8

2.7.1 Analyses Conducted for the Brightwater EIS..... 2-9

2.7.2 Aeromagnetic and Ground Magnetic Surveys ..... 2-9

2.7.3 LiDAR Surveys ..... 2-10

2.7.4 Reevaluation of Soil Samples on the Route 9 Site ..... 2-10

2.7.5 Seismic Refraction Survey ..... 2-11

2.7.6 Soil Borings Across Lineament 4 ..... 2-11

2.7.7 Geologic Reconnaissance ..... 2-11

2.7.8 Excavation and Analysis of Trenches Near Lineament 4 ..... 2-12

2.7.9 USGS Interpretations of Data ..... 2-12

2.8 What Can Be Inferred About Seismic Features On or Near the Route 9 Site?... 2-13

2.9 What Uncertainties Remain About Seismic Features On or Near the Route 9 Site? ..... 2-14

2.9.1 Whether an Earthquake Will Occur in the Puget Sound Region During the Design Life of the Brightwater Treatment Plant ..... 2-15

2.9.2 Possible Faults on the Route 9 Site ..... 2-15

2.9.3 Magnitude of an Earthquake Affecting the Route 9 Site ..... 2-16

2.9.4 Potential for Fault Movement or Ground Rupture on the Route 9 Site.. 2-16

2.9.5 Potential for Ground Shaking on the Route 9 Site..... 2-17

2.9.6 Earthquake Damage to Public Services ..... 2-18

2.10 References..... 2-19

**Chapter 3 Design of the Brightwater Treatment Plant .....3-1**

3.1 How Would Brightwater Fit Into the Regional Wastewater System? ..... 3-1

3.2 How Is the Brightwater Treatment Plant Being Designed to Lessen Impacts of an Earthquake? ..... 3-2

3.2.1 International Building Code 2003..... 3-2

3.2.2 Other Measures to Limit and Mitigate the Effects of a Major Earthquake ..... 3-6

3.3 How Are Brightwater Conveyance Facilities Being Designed to Lessen Impacts of an Earthquake? ..... 3-9

3.4 Do Regulations Govern the Siting of Facilities Near Active Faults? ..... 3-10

3.4.1 California and Utah Seismic Regulations..... 3-10

3.4.2 Applicability to Brightwater ..... 3-10

3.5 What Are the Components of the Brightwater Wastewater Treatment System? ..... 3-11

3.5.1 Overview of Treatment Plant System ..... 3-11

3.5.2 Emergency Flow Management System ..... 3-12

3.6 Where Would Facilities Be Located On the Route 9 Site in Relation to Seismic Features? ..... 3-19

3.6.1	Layout of Treatment Plant Facilities.....	3-19
3.6.2	Plant Facilities Relative to Finished Ground Elevation .....	3-19
3.6.3	Combined Tunnel and Pipelines Near Lineament X.....	3-21
3.7	References .....	3-22
<b>Chapter 4</b>	<b>Worst-Case Earthquake Scenarios Assumed in the Impacts Analysis .....</b>	<b>4-1</b>
4.1	What Is Assumed About a “Worst-Case” Earthquake? .....	4-1
4.1.1	Scenario A – Surface Rupture on Lineament 4 Resulting in Very Strong Ground Shaking on the Site .....	4-3
4.1.2	Scenario B – Surface Rupture on Lineament X Resulting in a Break in the Combined Tunnel and Very Strong Ground Shaking on the Site ..	4-4
4.1.3	Scenario C – Surface Rupture Between Lineaments 4 and X Resulting in Damage to Treatment Facilities and Very Strong Ground Shaking on the Site.....	4-4
4.2	What Is Assumed About Conditions at the Brightwater Treatment Plant Just Prior to a Major Earthquake? .....	4-5
4.3	What Is Assumed About Availability of Regional Services Following a Major Earthquake on the Southern Whidbey Island Fault? .....	4-7
4.3.1	Roads and Bridges.....	4-7
4.3.2	Air Transportation.....	4-8
4.3.3	Ports and Ferries.....	4-8
4.3.4	Railroads .....	4-9
4.3.5	Electrical Service.....	4-9
4.3.6	Communications .....	4-10
4.3.7	Water Supply.....	4-10
4.3.8	Wastewater Treatment and Conveyance.....	4-11
4.3.9	Natural Gas .....	4-11
4.4	What Has Happened to Other Water and Wastewater Treatment Plants During Large Earthquakes? .....	4-12
4.4.1	Reports From Four Earthquakes .....	4-12
4.4.2	Observed Damage to Treatment Facilities .....	4-13
4.4.3	Lessons Learned from Other Earthquakes.....	4-14
4.5	What Would Happen if the Ground Were to Rupture on Lineament 4 Resulting in Very Strong Ground Shaking on the Site (Scenario A)?.....	4-14
4.5.1	Treatment Plant Damage Assumptions .....	4-15
4.5.2	Combined Tunnel Damage Assumptions .....	4-16
4.5.3	Where Would the Wastewater Go? .....	4-17
4.6	What Would Happen if the Ground Were to Rupture on Lineament X Resulting in a Break in the Combined Tunnel and Very Strong Ground Shaking on the Site (Scenario B)?.....	4-20
4.6.1	Treatment Plant Damage Assumptions .....	4-20
4.6.2	Combined Tunnel Damage Assumptions .....	4-20
4.6.3	Where Would the Wastewater Go? .....	4-21
4.7	What Would Happen if the Ground Were to Rupture Between Lineaments 4 and X Resulting in Damage to Treatment Facilities and Very Strong Ground Shaking on the Site (Scenario C)?.....	4-23
4.7.1	General Treatment Plant Damage Assumptions .....	4-23
4.7.2	Damage to Facilities That Would Have the Greatest Impact on Groundwater .....	4-25
4.7.3	Damage to Facilities That Would Have the Greatest Impact on Surface Water .....	4-26
4.7.4	Damage to Facilities That Could Result in Chemical Leaks.....	4-27
4.7.5	Combined Tunnel Damage Assumptions .....	4-28

**Table of Contents**

---

4.8 How Would King County Respond to an Earthquake at the Brightwater Treatment Plant?..... 4-28

4.8.1 Independent Power Sources..... 4-28

4.8.2 Four Control Centers..... 4-29

4.8.3 Stopping Flow to the Plant and Between Process Units..... 4-29

4.8.4 Partial Treatment of Wastewater Using the Split-Flow Process ..... 4-30

4.8.5 Inspection, Evaluation, Repair ..... 4-30

4.9 References ..... 4-35

**Chapter 5 Environmental Impacts If a Major Earthquake Were to Damage Brightwater Facilities and Mitigation of Impacts.....5-1**

5.1 What Does SEPA Require?..... 5-1

5.1.1 Analysis of Impacts ..... 5-1

5.1.2 Identification of Mitigation Measures..... 5-4

5.2 How Is the Discussion of Impacts and Mitigation Organized? ..... 5-4

5.3 What Impacts Would Occur to Surface Waters and Biological Resources from an Earthquake on the Route 9 Site? What Can Be Done to Minimize or Mitigate Impacts? ..... 5-5

5.3.1 Overview of Impacts to Marine Waters ..... 5-6

5.3.2 Overview of Impacts to Freshwaters..... 5-6

5.3.3 Impacts to Freshwaters and Biological Resources—Scenario A..... 5-7

5.3.4 Impacts to Freshwaters and Biological Resources—Scenario B..... 5-12

5.3.5 Impacts to Freshwaters and Biological Resources—Scenario C ..... 5-16

5.3.6 Impacts to Marine Waters and Biological Resources—Scenarios B and C..... 5-20

5.3.7 Mitigation of Impacts to Surface Waters and Biological Resources ..... 5-22

5.4 What Impacts to Groundwater Could Occur from an Earthquake on the Route 9 Site? What Can Be Done to Minimize or Mitigate Impacts? ..... 5-24

5.4.1 Impacts to Groundwater—Scenario A ..... 5-25

5.4.2 Impacts to Groundwater—Scenario B ..... 5-27

5.4.3 Impacts to Groundwater—Scenario C ..... 5-27

5.4.4 Mitigation of Impacts to Groundwater ..... 5-28

5.5 What Odor and Air Emission Impacts Could Occur from an Earthquake on the Route 9 Site? What Can Be Done to Minimize or Mitigate Impacts? ..... 5-29

5.5.1 Odor and Air Emission Impacts—Scenario A ..... 5-29

5.5.2 Odor and Air Emission Impacts—Scenario B ..... 5-31

5.5.3 Odor and Air Emission Impacts—Scenario C ..... 5-32

5.5.4 Mitigation of Odor and Air Emission Impacts ..... 5-35

5.6 What Impacts to Environmental Health Could Occur from an Earthquake on the Route 9 Site? What Can Be Done to Minimize or Mitigate Impacts? ..... 5-38

5.6.1 Pathways of Exposure if Contact with Wastewater Were to Occur ..... 5-38

5.6.2 Impacts to Environmental Health—Scenario A..... 5-40

5.6.3 Impacts to Environmental Health—Scenario B..... 5-41

5.6.4 Impacts to Environmental Health—Scenario C ..... 5-42

5.6.5 Mitigation of Impacts to Environmental Health ..... 5-44

5.7 What Impacts to Public Services and Utilities Could Occur from an Earthquake on the Route 9 Site? What Can Be Done to Minimize or Mitigate Impacts?..... 5-44

5.7.1 Impacts to Public Services and Utilities—Scenario A..... 5-45

5.7.2 Impacts to Public Services and Utilities—Scenario B..... 5-46

5.7.3 Impacts to Public Services and Utilities—Scenario C..... 5-47

5.7.4 Mitigation of Impacts to Public Services and Utilities..... 5-48

5.8 References ..... 5-50

**Scenario Tables**

**Glossary**

**Distribution List**

**Appendices (bound separately)**

- A Surface-Fault-Rupture Hazard Evaluation
- B Revised Probabilistic Seismic Hazard Analyses
- C Building Code Regulations and Seismic Studies Used in the Structural Design of the Brightwater Facilities
- D Emergency Overflow Surface Water Impacts Analysis
- E Analysis of Flooding and Water Quality Effects in Little Bear Creek Following a Major Earthquake
- F Brightwater SEPA Supplement Groundwater Evaluation

**LIST OF TABLES**

Table 2-1. Inferred Earthquake Fault Movement on Lineament 4 of the Southern Whidbey Island Fault Since the End of the Most Recent Glaciation..... 2-8

Table 3-1. IBC 2003 Seismic Use Groups for Brightwater Facilities..... 3-4

Table 3-2. Description of Components for 54-mgd Brightwater Treatment Plant ..... 3-13

Table 3-3. Volume of Major Liquid-Holding Tanks for 54-mgd Brightwater Treatment Plant ... 3-20

Table 3-4. Volume in Connecting Pipes between Process Units for 54-mgd Brightwater Treatment Plant..... 3-20

Table 4-1. Brightwater Treatment Plant Design Flows (mgd) ..... 4-5

Table 4-2. Storage, Flow Transfer, and Potential Overflow Rates During a Brightwater Treatment Plant Shutdown – Scenarios A, B, and C..... 4-19

Table 4-3. Maximum Potential Discharge from a Ruptured Combined Tunnel..... 4-22

Table 4-4. Inspection of Brightwater Treatment Plant Facilities Following an Earthquake ..... 4-32

**LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure 1-1 Treatment Plant Sites, Conveyance Corridors, and Outfall Zones Considered in the Final EIS

Figure 1-2 Route 9 — 195<sup>th</sup> Street System (Preferred Alternative) as Described in the Final EIS

Figure 1-3 Lineaments and Excavated Trenches on or near the Route 9 Site

Figure 1-4 Revised Treatment Plant Site Plan

Figure 2-1 Sources of Earthquakes in the Puget Sound Region

Figure 2-2 Earthquakes in the Puget Sound Region: 1900 to Present

Figure 2-3 Location of Lineaments 4 and X and Boring PB-12

Figure 2-4 Probability of Rupture on Lineament 4 During the 50-Year Brightwater Treatment Plant Design Life

Figure 3-1 Existing Wastewater System

Figure 3-2 Location of Brightwater Treatment Facilities Relative to the Seismic Features on the Route 9 Site

Figure 3-3 Typical Section of Pipelines in Combined Tunnel

Figure 3-4 Locations of Route 9 Treatment Plant Site Cross Sections Shown in Figure 3-5

Figure 3-5 Cross Sections of Route 9 Treatment Plant Site at Locations Shown in Figure 3-4

Figure 4-1 Regional Transportation System

## Table of Contents

---

Figure 4-2	Lifelines and Earthquake Hazards in the Puget Lowland
Figure 4-3	Rerouting of Average Dry-Weather and Maximum Monthly Flows During Brightwater Treatment Plant Shutdown
Figure 4-4	Rerouting of Flows Above Maximum Monthly Flow and Overflow Locations During Brightwater Treatment Plant Shutdown
Figure 5-1	Brightwater Influent Flows Predicted for Average and Extreme Dry and Wet Seasons
Figure 5-2	Conceptual Duration of Brightwater Peak Hourly Influent Flow During the Wet Season
Figure 5-3	Freshwater Resources and Overflow Locations for Flows Above Maximum Monthly Flow
Figure 5-4	Flow Paths of Discharge from Cracked Digester Tanks
Figure 5-5	Schematic Aquifer Section of the Route 9 Sit