

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>CHAPTER</u>	<u>TITLE</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
	SUMMARY	S-1
	PURPOSE	S-1
	METHODOLOGY	S-1
	PROJECTS EVALUATED DURING SUPPLEMENTAL CSO CONTROL PLANNING	S-2
	COSTS	S-3
1	INTRODUCTION	1-1
	THE COMBINED SEWER OVERFLOW PROBLEM	1-1
	DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED CSO CONTROL PLAN	1-1
	PLANNING PROCESS AND EVALUATION CRITERIA	1-3
	SCOPE OF THE SUPPLEMENT	1-4
	ORGANIZATION OF THE SUPPLEMENT TO THE PLAN FOR CSO CONTROL	1-5
2	CSO CONTROL ALTERNATIVES FOR SECONDARY SYSTEM ALTERNATIVE 5	2-1
	SECONDARY SYSTEM ALTERNATIVE 5	2-1
	CSO CONTROL ALTERNATIVES	2-1
	Representative CSO Projects Described in the November 1985 Plan	2-1
	Other CSO Control Alternatives	2-4
	Enlarged Regional Conveyance and Treatment Capacities	2-4
	Southern Service Area	2-4
	Northern Service Area	2-19
	EVALUATION OF CSO CONTROL ALTERNATIVES	2-49
	Model Revisions	2-49
	Effects of Model Revisions on Baseline CSO Volumes	2-49
	Calculation of Pollutant Loadings	2-49
	Development of Cost Estimates	2-52

<u>CHAPTER</u>	<u>TITLE</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
3	<b>EVALUATION OF SECONDARY SYSTEM ALTERNATIVE 5 CSO CONTROL ALTERNATIVES</b>	3-1
	<b>EVALUATION CRITERIA</b>	3-1
	Reduction in CSO Volume	3-1
	Total Cost	3-3
	Unit Cost (Cost per Million Gallons of CSO Volume Reduction)	3-3
	Pollutant Loading	3-3
	Aesthetic Impacts	3-3
	Potential Cost-Sharing	3-3
	Ease of Operation	3-4
	Near-Shore Sensitivities	3-4
	Fish and Wildlife Resources	3-4
	Implementability	3-4
	Water Body Priorities	3-4
	Coincident Benefits	3-4
	"Hot Spot" Reduction	3-5
	Compatibility with All Secondary System Alternatives	3-5
	Method for Selecting Representative CSO Projects	3-5
	<b>LARGE DUWAMISH</b>	3-6
	<b>LARGE INTERBAY</b>	3-13
	<b>SPLIT INTERBAY/DUWAMISH</b>	3-13
4	<b>CSO ALTERNATIVES FOR SECONDARY SYSTEM ALTERNATIVES 1 THROUGH 4</b>	4-1
	<b>PURPOSE OF EVALUATION</b>	4-1
	<b>CSO CONTROL ALTERNATIVES</b>	4-1
	<b>EVALUATION METHODOLOGY</b>	4-2
5	<b>COMPARISON OF CSO CONTROL ASPECTS OF SECONDARY SYSTEM ALTERNATIVES</b>	5-1
	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	5-1
	<b>COSTS</b>	5-1
	CSO Discharges Controlled	5-3
	Pollutant Loadings	5-3
	<b>OTHER CONSIDERATIONS</b>	5-5

<u>CHAPTER</u>	<u>TITLE</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
APPENDIX		
A	EFFECTS OF CSO CONTROL PROJECT COMBINATIONS ON CSO VOLUME AND POLLUTANT LOADING	A-1
B	COSTS OF INCREASING SIZE OF REGIONAL CONVEYANCE AND TREATMENT FOR CSO REDUCTION	B-1
C	PROJECT SCHEDULING USED TO DEVELOP PRESENT- WORTH COSTS FOR PHASED CONSTRUCTION AND SUMMARY OF RESULTS	C-1

## LIST OF FIGURES

<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>TITLE</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
1-1	CSO Locations and Volume	1-2
2-1	System Alternative 5 Configurations	2-2
2-2	Storage Volume in Elliott Bay Interceptor	2-6
2-3	Kingdome Storage	2-10
2-4	Kingdome Storage Schematic	2-11
2-5	Diagonal Avenue Storage	2-13
2-6	Diagonal Storage Schematic	2-14
2-7	Alaskan Way Storage	2-16
2-8	Length and Diameter of Pipe Available for Storage in North Interceptor Downstream of EBI	2-22
2-9	Dexter Avenue North Storage Facilities	2-24
2-10	3rd Avenue West Storage Facilities	2-27
2-11	Ballard Storage Facilities	2-29
2-12	Ballard No. 1 Storage Facilities	2-31
2-13	Location of Improvement to Central Interceptor	2-35
2-14	Potential Separation Projects	2-39
2-15	Location of Conveyance Facilities for Treatment of NSA CSO at Interbay WWTP	2-46
5-1	Southern Service Area Costs for CSO Volume Reduction	5-9
5-2	Northern Service Area Costs for CSO Volume Reduction	5-10
5-3	Current Annual Suspended Solids Discharges vs. Year 2005 CSO-Related Suspended Solids Annual Loadings, System Alternative 3 or 4, 75% CSO Volume Reduction	5-15
5-4	Current Annual BOD Discharges vs. Year 2005 CSO- Related BOD Annual Loadings, System Alternative 3 or 4, 75% CSO Volume Reduction	5-16
5-5	Current Annual Load Discharges vs. Year 2005 Comparative CSO-Related Lead Annual Loadings, System Alternatives 3 or 4, 75% CSO Volume Reduction	5-17
5-6	CSO Related Pollutant Discharges Under Alternative System Configurations - 75% Volume Reduction	5-18
5-7	CSO Related Pollutant Discharges Under Alternative System Configurations - 90% Volume Reduction	5-19
5-8	Effect of Kingdome and Diagonal Storage Option vs. Separation Option on CSO-Related Loadings at 75% CSO Volume Reduction	5-20
5-9	Effect of Kingdome and Diagonal Storage Option vs. Separation Option on CSO-Related Loadings at 90% CSO Volume Reduction	5-21
5-10	Costs for CSO Related Pollutant Reduction	5-22

## LIST OF TABLES

<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>TITLE</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
S-1	Representative CSO Control Projects for Each Secondary System Alternative for 75 and 90 Percent CSO Volume Reduction	S-5
2-1	Estimated Costs for EBI Storage, Large Duwamish Configuration	2-7
2-2	Estimated Costs for EBI Storage, Split Interbay/Duwamish Configuration	2-8
2-3	Estimated Costs for Kingdome Storage	2-12
2-4	Estimated Costs for Diagonal Storage, 10 MG	2-15
2-5	Estimated Costs for Alaskan Way Storage	2-17
2-6	Estimated Costs for CSO Treatment at Duwamish When Sewer System Sized for Base Flows	2-20
2-7	Estimated Costs for 11.2 MG Storage in North Interceptor Downstream of EBI	2-23
2-8	Estimated Costs for 2.5 MG Storage Near Dexter Regulator	2-25
2-9	Estimated Costs for 2.7 MG Storage Near 3rd Avenue West Weir	2-28
2-10	Estimated Costs for 2.5 MG Storage Near Ballard Regulator	2-30
2-11	Estimated Costs for 2.0 MG Storage Near Ballard No. 1 Weir	2-32
2-12	Estimated Costs for Increased Central Interceptor Conveyance Capacity	2-36
2-13	Estimated Costs for Increased Montlake Siphon and Interceptor Capacity	2-37
2-14	Cost Summary for Additional NSA System Separation Projects	2-43
2-15	Ranking of Supplemental NSA Separation Projects	2-44
2-16	Cost Estimate for Treatment of NSA CSO in a Large Interbay Plant	2-47
2-17	Cost Estimate for Treatment of NSA CSO in a Split-Size Interbay Plant	2-48
2-18	Pollutant Concentrations and Treatment Effects Used in Calculating Pollutant Loadings	2-51
3-1	Criteria Matrix	3-2
3-2	Cost/Volume Effect Relationship of Representative CSO Control Projects for Large Duwamish Configuration	3-9
3-3	Representative CSO Projects Used for Large Duwamish	3-11
3-4	Relative Costs to Achieve Target CSO Reductions in Both NSA and SSA Versus an Overall Basis for CSO Volume Reductions for Large Duwamish	3-12
3-5	Cost/Volume Effect Relationship of Representative CSO Control Projects for Large Interbay Configuration	3-14
3-6	Representative CSO Projects Used for Large Interbay	3-16
3-7	Cost/Volume Effect Relationship of Representative CSO Control Projects for Split Interbay/Duwamish Configuration	3-17
3-8	Representative CSO Projects Used for Split Interbay/Duwamish	3-19

<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>TITLE</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
4-1	Summary of CSO Project Effects for Secondary System Alternatives 1 Through 4	4-3
4-2	Representative CSO Projects for Secondary System Alternatives 1 and 2	4-5
4-3	Representative CSO Projects for Secondary System Alternatives 3 and 4	4-6
5-1	Representative CSO Control Projects for Each Secondary System Alternative for 75 and 90 Percent CSO Volume Reduction	5-7
5-2	Costs of Secondary Treatment and CSO Control	5-8
5-3	Effects of Representative CSO Project Combinations on Individual CSO Discharges	5-11
5-4	Storm-Related Loadings for Overall System for Secondary System Alternatives at 75 Percent and 90 Percent CSO Volume Reduction	5-12
5-5	Location of CSO Discharges and CSO-Related Pollutant and Annual Average Loadings and Flows, 75 Percent CSO Volume Reduction	5-13
5-6	Locations of CSO Discharges and CSO-Related Pollutant and Annual Average Loadings and Flows, 90 Percent CSO Volume Reduction	5-14
5-7	Effect of Comparing CSO Alternatives on Basis of Pollutant Loadings Rather Than Volume Reduction	5-23